Functional Constipation and Health-Related Quality of Life in Elementary School Children: A Narrative Review

Alaa Adel Fetoh Mohamed, Nora Nabil Hussien, Noha Osman Frere

Family Medicine Department, Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University,
Corresponding Author: Alaa Adel Fetoh Mohamed

Received: 28 October 2024, **Accepted:** 17 November 2024, **Published:** 20 November 2024

Abstract

Background: Functional constipation is one of the most frequent gastrointestinal disorders in childhood and is particularly disruptive during the elementary-school years. Although the disorder is defined by bowel symptoms, its consequences extend to pain, appetite, sleep, emotional well-being, peer relationships, school participation, and family life. This narrative review examines the pathways through which functional constipation affects health-related quality of life and translates the evidence into practical recommendations for primary care. Relevant pediatric guidelines, systematic reviews, and observational and interventional studies were identified through targeted searches of PubMed and Google Scholar, supplemented by reference chaining. Priority was given to studies involving school-aged children, validated diagnostic criteria, multidimensional quality-of-life measures, and clinically applicable management. The evidence consistently shows poorer overall, physical, emotional, social, and school functioning among affected children than among healthy peers. Painful defecation, stool withholding, fecal incontinence, symptom chronicity, treatment burden, and psychological distress appear to intensify this impairment. Child and parent ratings are informative but may differ substantially in individual families, supporting parallel assessment whenever feasible. Diagnosis remains primarily clinical and should follow Rome IV criteria while actively screening for alarm features. Effective care requires disimpaction when present, adequate maintenance laxative therapy, nonpunitive toilet routines, realistic dietary advice, school accommodation, and planned follow-up. Polyethylene glycol remains the preferred first-line pharmacological option for most children. Quality of life should be assessed as a clinically meaningful outcome alongside stool frequency and consistency because symptom improvement alone may not capture recovery in daily functioning. Family physicians are well positioned to recognize the condition early, coordinate home and school support, address adherence and psychosocial barriers, and refer children with alarm features or refractory disease.

Keywords: functional constipation, child, quality of life, school health, primary health care

Introduction

Functional constipation (FC) is a symptom-based disorder characterized by difficult, painful, infrequent, or incomplete defecation in the absence of an explanatory structural, metabolic, or neurologic disease. It accounts for the great majority of constipation encountered in children and is a common reason for primary-care consultation and pediatric gastroenterology referral [1,2]. Reported prevalence varies because age ranges, sampling methods, and diagnostic definitions differ, yet population studies and systematic reviews consistently identify FC as a substantial childhood health problem [3,4].

The elementary-school period creates a distinctive setting for symptom persistence. Children must regulate defecation around fixed lessons, limited breaks, shared toilets, privacy concerns, and peer observation. A painful bowel movement may initiate voluntary stool retention; prolonged retention then produces larger, harder stools, further pain, rectal dilatation, and diminished awareness of the urge to defecate. Overflow fecal incontinence may follow, exposing the child to shame, secrecy, or teasing [1,5]. The resulting disorder is therefore not adequately described by stool frequency alone.

Health-related quality of life (HRQoL) captures the child's physical comfort, emotional state, social participation, and school functioning. A systematic review and meta-analysis of 20 studies involving 2,344 children found markedly lower overall HRQoL in children with FC than in healthy controls, with impairment across all major domains [6]. Earlier case-control work found that children with chronic constipation reported lower quality of life than healthy children and, in several dimensions, children with other chronic gastrointestinal disorders [7]. These findings shift the clinical question from whether a child passes stool to whether the child has resumed comfortable, confident, age-appropriate daily life.

This narrative review synthesizes current evidence on the relationship between FC and HRQoL in elementary-school children, identifies the clinical and contextual factors that deepen impairment, and outlines a family-medicine approach that incorporates quality of life into assessment, treatment, follow-up, and referral.

Review Methodology

A targeted narrative search was undertaken using PubMed and Google Scholar, supplemented by backward reference review of major pediatric guidelines and systematic reviews. Search concepts included childhood or pediatric functional constipation, Rome IV, quality of life, PedsQL, school functioning, fecal incontinence, psychosocial outcomes, family burden, primary care, and treatment. English-language guidelines, systematic reviews, cohort and case-control studies, qualitative research, and treatment studies were considered. Priority was given to pediatric populations, elementary-school age ranges, validated diagnostic or HRQoL instruments, and evidence with direct relevance to clinical practice. Adult-only reports, maternal-knowledge studies, unrelated gastrointestinal disorders, unverified web material, and duplicated publications were excluded. Because the purpose was interpretive clinical synthesis rather than exhaustive effect estimation, the review was not conducted as a formal systematic review and no pooled analysis was performed.

Clinical Foundations

In toilet-trained children with a developmental age of at least four years, Rome IV defines FC by at least two characteristic features occurring at least weekly for a minimum of one month, with insufficient criteria for irritable bowel syndrome. These features include two or fewer defecations in the toilet per week, at least one episode of fecal incontinence per week, retentive posturing or excessive voluntary retention, painful or hard bowel movements, a large fecal mass in the rectum, and large-diameter stools that may obstruct the toilet [8]. Diagnosis is clinical when the history and examination are typical and alarm features are absent [1,2].

The most familiar mechanism is a pain-retention cycle. After passing a hard or painful stool, the child suppresses the urge to defecate by stiffening, crossing the legs, standing on tiptoe, or hiding. Continued colonic water absorption hardens the retained stool. Repetition enlarges the rectal reservoir and weakens sensation, allowing a substantial fecal mass and, at times, overflow incontinence [1,5]. School toilet avoidance, anxiety, disruptive life events, neurodevelopmental or behavioral difficulties, and

inconsistent routines may reinforce this cycle [9,10]. Dietary fiber or fluid intake below normal requirements can contribute, but FC should not be reduced to a dietary problem, and excessive dietary prescriptions may increase family blame without resolving impaction or entrenched withholding.

A focused history should establish symptom onset, stool frequency and form, pain, bleeding, withholding behavior, fecal incontinence, abdominal symptoms, urinary symptoms, appetite, previous treatment, adherence, and effects on school and family life. Growth, abdominal and perianal findings, lumbosacral and lower-limb neurologic signs, and evidence of fecal loading should be assessed when clinically appropriate [1,2]. Delayed meconium passage, onset in the first month of life, severe abdominal distension, bilious vomiting, faltering growth, abnormal anal or neurologic findings, unexplained fever, and blood not attributable to a fissure warrant evaluation for organic disease. Routine abdominal radiography, laboratory testing, and anorectal investigations are not required for a typical presentation; they are reserved for diagnostic uncertainty, alarm features, or refractory symptoms [1,11].

How Functional Constipation Impairs Quality of Life

Physical functioning is affected directly by painful defecation, abdominal pain, bloating, appetite disturbance, fissures, fecal impaction, and soiling. Symptoms may interrupt play, sport, travel, sleep, and classroom attention. Children may reduce food intake to avoid defecation or restrict activity because they fear urgency or an accident. Associated bladder and bowel dysfunction can add urinary urgency, incontinence, or recurrent urinary complaints, increasing discomfort and healthcare use [2].

Emotional harm arises from anticipation as much as from the bowel movement itself. A child who expects pain may become anxious at mealtimes, after school, or when asked to sit on the toilet. Repeated accidents can cause shame, lowered self-esteem, irritability, secrecy, and a sense of lost bodily control. Emotional and behavioral problems are reported more often in constipated children and adolescents, although directionality is complex: distress may promote withholding, while persistent symptoms can intensify distress [9,12]. The relationship is best understood as bidirectional rather than as evidence that the disorder is imagined or purely psychological.

Social functioning is especially vulnerable when fecal incontinence is present. Odor, stained clothing, repeated bathroom visits, and fear of discovery may lead children to avoid peers, sleepovers, sports, or school trips. In a multicenter study, fecal incontinence was associated with poorer quality of life than FC without incontinence [14]. Qualitative accounts from families also describe disrupted routines, social restriction, parental vigilance, conflict surrounding toileting, and uncertainty about whether the child can control the symptoms [15].

School functioning may deteriorate through absence, late arrival, clinic visits, reduced concentration, reluctance to leave class, and avoidance of school toilets. The child may withhold throughout the school day because facilities are unclean, lack privacy, or require permission to use. Abdominal discomfort and vigilance for accidents compete with attention to lessons. Teachers may misinterpret pain, irritability, or repeated bathroom requests as avoidance or poor behavior. Although direct academic-outcome studies remain limited, the convergence of child reports, parent reports, and qualitative evidence supports routine inquiry about attendance, concentration, toilet access, and participation [6,15].

Measuring Health-Related Quality of Life

Generic instruments such as the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory (PedsQL) allow comparison across healthy children and different diseases and provide physical, emotional, social, school, and total scores. Disease-specific tools, including defecation-disorder measures, are more sensitive to bowel-related embarrassment, treatment burden, accidents, and toileting restrictions. The choice depends on purpose: generic measures are useful for broad clinical comparison, whereas disease-specific measures may detect changes most relevant to constipation [6,16].

Measurement should include the child's own report whenever developmental level permits. In primary-care children aged 8 to 17 years, average parent-child agreement was good, but individual pairs sometimes differed considerably; parents were slightly more positive on average [16]. A parent may observe missed school and treatment routines but not private shame or fear, while a child may

underrecognize family disruption. Asking both informants avoids treating either perspective as a substitute for the other.

Quality-of-life assessment need not be burdensome. A brief baseline screen can ask about pain, fear of defecation, accidents, sleep, play, peer contact, school attendance, classroom concentration, toilet avoidance, and family conflict. A validated instrument is valuable in persistent or severe cases and when documenting treatment response. Reassessment should occur after disimpaction and during maintenance because functional recovery may lag behind improved stool frequency.

Determinants of Greater Impairment

Greater symptom severity, longer duration, painful defecation, abdominal pain, fecal impaction, and fecal incontinence are repeatedly linked to worse daily functioning [6,7,14]. Delayed presentation can allow the retention cycle to become established, making treatment longer and accidents more difficult to reverse. Psychological stress, anxiety, behavioral comorbidity, and adverse life events may amplify both symptom perception and withholding [9,13].

Treatment itself may create burden. High initial expectations, unpleasant medication, toileting conflict, inconsistent instructions, and premature discontinuation can undermine adherence. Relapse may be interpreted by families as treatment failure even though prolonged maintenance is often required. Social determinants also matter: medication cost, limited continuity of care, crowded housing, caregiver work schedules, and restricted access to a private school toilet can prevent implementation of an otherwise appropriate plan. The clinically useful question is not simply whether instructions were given, but whether the family and school can carry them out consistently.

Management Directed Toward Symptom and Quality-of-Life Recovery

Management begins with explanation and demystification. Children and caregivers should understand that withholding and soiling are usually involuntary consequences of rectal loading rather than laziness or defiance. Blame and punishment increase anxiety and resistance. When fecal impaction is present, disimpaction is required before maintenance; polyethylene glycol (PEG) is generally preferred because it is effective, orally administered, and supported by pediatric guidelines [1,2]. Maintenance PEG is first-line for most children, with lactulose used when PEG is unavailable or not tolerated. Doses should be individualized to produce regular soft, painless stools rather than rigidly continued despite diarrhea or inadequately escalated despite persistent retention [1,2].

Behavioral support should be simple and nonpunitive: a relaxed toilet sit for approximately five minutes after meals, stable foot support, privacy, and praise for participation rather than only successful stool passage. A stool diary can help identify patterns without making bowel care the center of family life. Normal age-appropriate fiber, fluid, and activity should be encouraged, but evidence does not support excessive supplementation when intake is already adequate [1,2]. Probiotics should not replace established therapy; a critical appraisal of randomized evidence found no convincing support for routine probiotic treatment of pediatric FC [17].

Treatment should continue long enough to reverse withholding and restore rectal sensation. Abrupt withdrawal after the first improvement invites relapse. Maintenance is usually continued for at least two months and until symptoms have resolved for at least one month before gradual reduction, with longer therapy often required [1]. Families should be told at the outset that recovery can be slow and nonlinear. A planned follow-up within weeks, rather than open-ended advice to return if worse, permits dose adjustment, reinforces adherence, and identifies persistent quality-of-life impairment.

A written school plan may be as important as a prescription. It can provide unrestricted, discreet toilet access; permission to carry water; spare clothing; confidential support from a designated staff member; and protection from punitive responses or public discussion. This approach reduces withholding and enables participation while preserving dignity. Children with significant anxiety, bullying, family conflict, or behavioral problems may benefit from psychological or school-based support alongside medical treatment.

Quality of life improves when constipation is treated successfully. In a prospective treatment study, both

children and parents reported significant HRQoL improvement after therapy [18]. Nevertheless, persistent social avoidance, fear, or school dysfunction should not be dismissed merely because stool frequency has normalized. These residual problems deserve direct intervention and continued follow-up.

The Role of Family Medicine

Family physicians are positioned to detect FC before repeated painful episodes produce chronic retention. Continuity allows comparison of bowel symptoms with growth, diet, medication exposure, neurodevelopment, emotional health, urinary complaints, and school attendance. The family physician can provide a consistent message across caregivers, avoid unnecessary investigations, titrate maintenance treatment, and coordinate school support. This continuity is particularly valuable because fragmented or contradictory advice contributes to underdosing, premature cessation, and therapeutic fatigue.

Referral to pediatric gastroenterology is appropriate when alarm features suggest organic disease, the diagnosis remains uncertain, symptoms fail to improve despite an adequately delivered regimen, or specialized testing is needed. Recent expert recommendations distinguish refractory constipation from common early nonresponse and emphasize first confirming diagnosis, adherence, appropriate dosing, and evacuation of retained stool before escalating to motility investigations or invasive therapy [19]. Urgent assessment is warranted for obstruction, severe distension with vomiting, systemic illness, or significant gastrointestinal bleeding.

Evidence Gaps and Future Priorities

The quality-of-life literature is consistent in direction but heterogeneous in diagnostic criteria, instruments, age ranges, clinical settings, and follow-up. Many studies are cross-sectional, limiting conclusions about causality. Elementary-school children are often combined with preschool children or adolescents, obscuring age-specific influences such as school toilet access, emerging peer stigma, and dependence on caregivers. Trials commonly prioritize stool frequency or treatment success and may not measure school participation, emotional recovery, or family burden [6].

Future studies should use Rome IV definitions, report fecal incontinence and symptom severity separately, and collect parallel child and caregiver outcomes. Pragmatic primary-care trials should evaluate whether routine HRQoL screening, structured school plans, early follow-up, and integrated behavioral support improve both bowel and functional outcomes. Research from low- and middle-income settings is particularly needed because sanitation, healthcare access, medication cost, school infrastructure, and cultural attitudes may modify both symptoms and quality of life.

Conclusion

Functional constipation in elementary-school children is a multidimensional disorder whose burden extends well beyond infrequent stool passage. Pain, withholding, fecal incontinence, shame, social restriction, school disruption, and family stress interact to reduce health-related quality of life. Clinical care should combine Rome IV-based diagnosis and alarm-feature screening with effective disimpaction, sustained maintenance therapy, nonpunitive toileting, practical school accommodations, and longitudinal follow-up. Assessing both child- and parent-reported quality of life provides a more complete measure of recovery and helps family physicians identify needs that bowel-frequency targets alone can miss.

References

Tabbers MM, DiLorenzo C, Berger MY, et al. Evaluation and treatment of functional constipation in infants and children: evidence-based recommendations from ESPGHAN and NASPGHAN. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2014;58(2):258-274.

2. Mulhem E, Khondoker F, Kandiah S. Constipation in children and adolescents: evaluation and treatment. Am Fam

Physician. 2022;105(5):469-478.

3. Mugie SM, Benninga MA, Di Lorenzo C. Epidemiology of constipation in children and adults: a systematic review. Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol. 2011;25(1):3-18.

4. Tran DL, Sintusek P. Functional constipation in children: what physicians should know. World J Gastroenterol. 2023;29(8):1261-1288.

5. Koppen IJN, Vriesman MH, Saps M, et al. Prevalence of functional defecation disorders in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Pediatr. 2018;198:121-130.e6.

6. Vriesman MH, Rajindrajith S, Koppen IJN, et al. Quality of life in children with functional constipation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Pediatr. 2019;214:141-150.e1.

7. Youssef NN, Langseder AL, Verga BJ, Mones RL, Rosh JR. Chronic childhood constipation is associated with impaired quality of life: a case-controlled study. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2005;41(1):56-60.

8. Hyams JS, Di Lorenzo C, Saps M, Shulman RJ, Staiano A, van Tilburg M. Childhood functional gastrointestinal disorders: child/adolescent. Gastroenterology. 2016;150(6):1456-1468.e2.

9. Liyanarachchi H, Rajindrajith S, Kuruppu C, et al. Association between childhood constipation and exposure to stressful life events: a systematic review. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2022;34(4):e14231.

10. Rajindrajith S, Devanarayana NM, Perera BJC, Benninga MA. Childhood constipation as an emerging public health problem. World J Gastroenterol. 2016;22(30):6864-6875.

11. Tambucci R, Quitadamo P, Thapar N, et al. Diagnostic tests in pediatric constipation. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2018;66(4):e89-e98.

12. Dos Santos IR, de Abreu GE, Dourado ER, et al. Emotional and behavioural problems in children and adolescents: the role of constipation. J Paediatr Child Health. 2021;57(7):1003-1008.

13. Kaugars AS, Silverman A, Kinservik M, et al. Families' perspectives on the effect of constipation and fecal incontinence on quality of life. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2010;51(6):747-752.

14. Kovacic K, Sood MR, Mugie S, et al. A multicenter study on childhood constipation and fecal incontinence: effects on quality of life. J Pediatr. 2015;166(6):1482-1487.e1.

15. Flankegård G, Mörelius E, Rytterström P. Everyday life with childhood functional constipation: a qualitative phenomenological study of parents' experiences. J Pediatr Nurs. 2022;67:e165-e171.

16. van Summeren JJGT, Holtman GA, Kollen BJ, Lisman-van Leeuwen Y, van Ulsen-Rust AHC, Berger MY. Parent-child agreement on health-related quality of life in children with functional constipation in primary care. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2018;67(6):726-731.

17. Harris RG, Neale EP, Ferreira I. When poorly conducted systematic reviews and meta-analyses can mislead: a critical appraisal and update examining probiotics for functional constipation in children. Am J Clin Nutr. 2019;110(1):177-195.

18. Erdur B, Ayar M. The treatment of functional constipation significantly increased quality of life in children aged 4-17 years. Turk J Gastroenterol. 2020;31(11):814-818.

19. Kilgore AL, Rogers Boruta MK, Ambartsumyan L, et al. Evaluation and management of pediatric refractory constipation: recommendations from the NASPGHAN neurogastroenterology and motility committee. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2025;80(2):353-373.