



# Occupational Respiratory, Auditory, and Musculoskeletal Disorders among Workers in Food Processing Industries: Exposure Risks, Health Outcomes, and Preventive Strategies

Mona Radwan, Amani Shawki Ahmed Abdullah, Hadeer Mohsen Mohamed Negmeldin, Raghda Ali Elshamy

Public Health and Community Medicine Department, Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University,  
Corresponding Author: Hadeer Mohsen Mohamed Negmeldin

**Received:** 28 October 2024, **Accepted:** 17 November 2024, **Published:** 20 November 2024

## *Abstract*

**Background:** The food processing industry is one of the fastest-growing industrial sectors worldwide and plays a fundamental role in food security, economic development, and global supply chains. The rapid expansion of food manufacturing activities has substantially increased the workforce engaged in processing, packaging, preservation, storage, and distribution operations. Despite its economic importance, the industry presents a complex occupational environment characterized by continuous exposure to multiple physical, ergonomic, biological, and environmental hazards. Workers in food processing facilities are frequently exposed to flour dust, organic aerosols, industrial noise, repetitive manual tasks, awkward postures, thermal stress, and microbial contaminants, all of which contribute to a considerable burden of occupational diseases and work-related disabilities. Respiratory, auditory, and musculoskeletal disorders are among the most prevalent occupational health problems affecting workers in this sector and represent major causes of impaired productivity, absenteeism, reduced quality of life, and increased healthcare costs.

Respiratory disorders in food processing industries commonly include occupational asthma, chronic bronchitis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and other airway inflammatory conditions resulting from chronic inhalation of organic dusts, allergens, enzymes, and chemical irritants. Simultaneously, prolonged exposure to excessive industrial noise generated by grinders, compressors, milling equipment, and packaging machinery places workers at significant risk for noise-induced hearing loss, tinnitus, and associated psychological consequences. In addition, the highly repetitive nature of food processing tasks, prolonged standing, forceful exertions, and improper workstation design substantially increase the incidence of work-related musculoskeletal disorders, particularly involving the upper limbs, neck, shoulders, and lower back. These disorders are further aggravated by psychosocial stressors, long working shifts, and inadequate ergonomic interventions.

This review aims to comprehensively evaluate occupational respiratory, auditory, and musculoskeletal disorders among workers in food processing industries, with emphasis on exposure patterns, pathophysiological mechanisms, health outcomes, diagnostic approaches, and preventive strategies. Furthermore, the review discusses modern occupational health surveillance systems, hierarchy-based control measures, workplace regulations, and emerging challenges related to industrial automation, climate-related occupational stress, and evolving food production technologies. Understanding these occupational hazards is essential for developing integrated preventive programs that protect worker health, improve productivity, and promote sustainable industrial development in the food processing sector.

**Keywords:** Occupational Health, Food Processing Industries, Work-Related Disorders



## Introduction

The food processing industry is considered one of the largest and most rapidly expanding industrial sectors worldwide and plays a critical role in food security, economic development, and global supply chains. Food processing involves transforming raw agricultural and animal products into edible, marketable, and consumable forms through multiple physical, chemical, thermal, and biological procedures. The increasing global demand for processed and ready-to-eat foods, together with rapid urbanization, industrialization, and population growth, has significantly accelerated the expansion of food manufacturing activities and consequently increased the number of workers employed in this sector. In many developing and developed countries, food processing industries contribute substantially to national income, employment opportunities, and export revenues, making them a cornerstone of modern industrial economies. [1,2]

Despite its major socioeconomic importance, the food processing sector represents a complex occupational environment characterized by exposure to numerous workplace hazards that may adversely affect workers' health and productivity. Food processing workers are frequently exposed to airborne organic dusts, flour particles, allergens, excessive industrial noise, repetitive movements, awkward working postures, thermal stress, microbial contaminants, and mechanical hazards generated by heavy machinery and production lines. The intensity and duration of these exposures vary according to the type of food industry, degree of mechanization, environmental conditions, production processes, and safety standards implemented within the workplace. Consequently, workers in food processing facilities are at elevated risk for developing several occupational diseases and work-related disorders that may impair functional capacity and quality of life. [3,4]

Among the most prevalent occupational health problems in food processing industries are respiratory, auditory, and musculoskeletal disorders. Respiratory diseases commonly arise from chronic inhalation of flour dust, cereal particles, enzymes, fumes, and organic aerosols encountered during milling, mixing, baking, packaging, and storage processes. Such exposures have been associated with occupational asthma, chronic bronchitis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), hypersensitivity reactions, and other airway inflammatory conditions. Simultaneously, exposure to hazardous occupational noise generated by grinders, compressors, conveyors, milling systems, and packaging equipment contributes significantly to noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL), tinnitus, sleep disturbances, and psychological stress. Furthermore, the repetitive and labor-intensive nature of food processing tasks places workers at high risk for work-related musculoskeletal disorders (WMSDs), particularly affecting the neck, shoulders, upper limbs, and lower back due to forceful exertions, prolonged standing, repetitive movements, and poor ergonomic design. [5,6]

The burden of these occupational disorders extends beyond individual health consequences and includes substantial economic and social impacts. Occupational diseases among food processing workers contribute to absenteeism, reduced productivity, increased healthcare expenditures, disability, early retirement, compensation claims, and deterioration in workers' overall well-being. Moreover, in low- and middle-income countries, inadequate occupational health services, poor workplace safety culture, insufficient engineering controls, and limited implementation of preventive programs further aggravate the risk of occupational morbidity among industrial workers. Emerging challenges such as climate change-associated heat stress, industrial automation, workforce aging, psychosocial stressors, and evolving production technologies have additionally increased the complexity of occupational health management within the food processing sector. [7,8]

Although numerous studies have separately investigated respiratory hazards, hearing impairment, or musculoskeletal disorders in industrial workers, there remains a relative lack of integrated reviews comprehensively addressing these major occupational health problems collectively within food processing industries. Furthermore, many previous reports have focused primarily on exposure



assessment without adequately discussing pathophysiological mechanisms, multidisciplinary preventive strategies, occupational surveillance systems, and modern industrial health perspectives. Therefore, a comprehensive synthesis of current evidence is needed to better understand the interaction between occupational exposures and worker health outcomes in this rapidly expanding sector. [9]

Accordingly, this review aims to comprehensively evaluate occupational respiratory, auditory, and musculoskeletal disorders among workers in food processing industries, with particular emphasis on occupational exposure patterns, risk factors, pathophysiological mechanisms, clinical outcomes, diagnostic approaches, preventive measures, and occupational health surveillance strategies. Additionally, the review highlights emerging occupational challenges and discusses evidence-based approaches for improving workplace safety and promoting sustainable occupational health practices in food processing environments.

### **Overview of Food Processing Industries**

Food processing is defined as the transformation of raw agricultural, animal, or marine materials into edible, safe, marketable, and consumer-acceptable food products through a series of physical, chemical, thermal, or biological operations. These processes aim not only to improve palatability and nutritional value but also to prolong shelf life, reduce microbial contamination, facilitate storage and transportation, and decrease post-harvest losses. Food processing has evolved from simple traditional preservation methods such as drying, salting, and fermentation into highly mechanized industrial systems involving sophisticated technologies and automated production lines. Modern food industries therefore represent a major component of global food systems and are essential for sustaining food security in rapidly growing populations. [10,11]

Food processing differs from food manufacturing in terms of industrial function and production stage. Food processing primarily focuses on converting raw materials into usable food ingredients or semi-processed products, whereas food manufacturing involves combining these processed ingredients into final ready-to-consume products. For example, milling wheat into flour is considered food processing, while using flour in bakery production to manufacture bread, biscuits, or cakes represents food manufacturing. This distinction is important from an occupational health perspective because workplace exposures vary substantially according to the production stage, type of machinery used, environmental conditions, and degree of automation. Workers involved in primary processing are commonly exposed to dusts, aerosols, and heavy machinery, whereas workers in secondary manufacturing may experience repetitive manual work, thermal stress, and packaging-related ergonomic hazards. [12,13]

The food processing industry encompasses multiple sectors including grain milling, bakery production, dairy processing, meat and poultry industries, seafood processing, fruit and vegetable preservation, beverage manufacturing, confectionery production, and frozen food industries. Each sector carries distinct occupational exposure profiles. Flour mills and bakeries are associated with high concentrations of organic dust and allergens, meat and poultry industries involve repetitive cutting tasks and biological hazards, while frozen food industries expose workers to cold stress and musculoskeletal strain. Additionally, many food production facilities operate continuously with rotating shifts and seasonal workloads, increasing physical and psychological demands on workers. Such variability contributes to the diversity of occupational disorders observed among food processing employees. [14,15]

Recent technological advances have significantly transformed food processing systems worldwide. Modern industries increasingly rely on automation, robotics, artificial intelligence (AI), high-pressure processing, pulsed electric field technology, cold plasma treatment, and advanced packaging systems to improve efficiency, quality control, and food safety. AI-based systems are currently used in food sorting, defect detection, hygiene monitoring, and automated production management. Although these technologies may reduce certain manual occupational risks, they may simultaneously introduce new ergonomic, psychosocial, and machine-related hazards associated with prolonged monitoring tasks, human-machine interaction, and increased production demands. Consequently, occupational health strategies must continuously adapt to technological changes within modern food industries. [16,17]

Globally, the food processing industry contributes substantially to economic development and



employment generation. In developing countries, the sector plays a critical role in reducing food waste, enhancing nutritional security, and supporting agricultural sustainability. In Egypt, the food processing sector represents one of the leading industrial and export sectors and employs millions of workers across manufacturing, packaging, transportation, and storage operations. Major governmental investments in food industrial cities, grain silos, dairy plants, and bakery complexes have accelerated industrial expansion during recent years. However, this rapid growth has also increased concerns regarding occupational health and workplace safety, particularly in facilities where preventive measures, engineering controls, and occupational health surveillance systems remain insufficiently implemented. [18,19]

The industrial environment within food processing facilities is characterized by continuous exposure to multiple occupational hazards including airborne dust, noise, vibrations, repetitive manual handling, temperature extremes, biological contaminants, and hazardous machinery. Workers frequently perform repetitive tasks under time pressure and physically demanding conditions that may predispose them to respiratory diseases, hearing impairment, and work-related musculoskeletal disorders. Therefore, understanding the structure and operational characteristics of food processing industries is essential for identifying occupational risk factors and designing effective preventive strategies aimed at improving worker safety and long-term health outcomes. [20]

### **Occupational Exposure Profile in Food Processing Industries**

Workers in food processing industries are exposed to a broad spectrum of occupational hazards resulting from the diversity of industrial operations, production environments, and technological processes involved in food manufacturing. These hazards are typically categorized into physical, chemical, biological, ergonomic, and environmental exposures, many of which coexist simultaneously within the same workplace. Continuous or repeated exposure to these harmful agents may contribute to acute injuries, chronic occupational diseases, reduced productivity, and long-term disability. The intensity of exposure varies according to the type of food industry, production scale, duration of employment, level of mechanization, and implementation of occupational safety measures. Understanding the occupational exposure profile within food processing facilities is therefore essential for identifying high-risk tasks and developing effective preventive strategies. [21,22]

Airborne dust exposure represents one of the most significant occupational hazards in food processing industries, particularly in flour mills, bakeries, grain storage facilities, spice processing plants, and animal feed industries. Dust generated during grinding, mixing, pouring, sieving, and packaging processes may contain flour particles, cereal allergens, enzymes, microorganisms, fungal spores, endotoxins, and chemical additives. Fine respirable particles can bypass upper airway defense mechanisms and penetrate deeply into the lower respiratory tract, where they induce inflammatory and allergic reactions. Chronic exposure to organic dusts has been associated with occupational asthma, chronic bronchitis, hypersensitivity pneumonitis, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. The risk is further increased in poorly ventilated environments and during dry processing operations where dust accumulation is substantial. [23,24]

Noise exposure is another major occupational hazard in food processing environments. Industrial machinery such as grinders, compressors, mixers, milling equipment, conveyors, bottling systems, and packaging lines generate continuous and intermittent high-intensity noise levels that frequently exceed recommended occupational exposure limits. Prolonged exposure to excessive noise may result in temporary or permanent hearing threshold shifts, tinnitus, sleep disturbances, impaired communication, reduced concentration, and psychological stress. Combined exposure to noise and ototoxic chemicals or vibration may further aggravate auditory dysfunction among workers. Flour milling, rice processing, sugar production, and beverage manufacturing industries are among the food sectors most commonly associated with hazardous occupational noise exposure. [25,26]

Ergonomic hazards are highly prevalent in food processing industries because many production activities involve repetitive manual tasks, forceful exertions, awkward postures, prolonged standing, repetitive lifting, and high work pace. Workers engaged in cutting, sorting, packaging, loading, and



assembly-line operations often perform repetitive upper limb movements for prolonged durations under constrained postural conditions. These biomechanical stressors contribute significantly to work-related musculoskeletal disorders involving the neck, shoulders, wrists, elbows, and lower back. Additionally, psychosocial factors such as work overload, job dissatisfaction, inadequate recovery periods, and production pressure may amplify the risk and severity of musculoskeletal symptoms. Seasonal food processing activities may further intensify physical strain due to condensed workloads and prolonged working shifts during peak production periods. [27,28]

Thermal stress constitutes another important occupational challenge in food processing facilities. Workers may be exposed to excessive heat in bakeries, commercial kitchens, food sterilization units, and canning factories due to high ambient temperatures, steam generation, and inadequate ventilation systems. Heat exposure may impair thermoregulation and lead to dehydration, fatigue, heat exhaustion, heat cramps, and heat stroke. Conversely, workers in frozen food industries, cold storage facilities, and seafood processing plants are frequently exposed to low temperatures that may contribute to cold-related illnesses, vasoconstriction, respiratory irritation, reduced manual dexterity, and musculoskeletal discomfort. Prolonged cold exposure has additionally been associated with cardiovascular strain and psychological stress. Environmental conditions such as humidity, air movement, and poor protective clothing substantially influence the severity of thermal stress among workers. [29,30]

Biological hazards also play a significant role in food processing industries, particularly in meat, poultry, dairy, seafood, and agricultural product processing sectors. Workers may encounter bacteria, fungi, viruses, and zoonotic pathogens through direct contact with contaminated raw materials, animal tissues, waste products, or bioaerosols. Occupational exposure to bovine tuberculosis, brucellosis, avian influenza, and fungal infections such as aspergillosis has been documented among slaughterhouse workers, poultry handlers, and dairy employees. In addition, humid environments commonly found in food production facilities may facilitate fungal growth and microbial contamination, thereby increasing respiratory and allergic health risks among exposed workers. [31,32]

The coexistence of multiple occupational exposures within food processing environments creates a complex industrial health burden that requires integrated risk assessment and multidisciplinary prevention programs. Simultaneous exposure to dust, noise, repetitive strain, thermal stress, and biological contaminants may produce synergistic adverse effects on workers' physical and psychological health. Therefore, comprehensive occupational exposure assessment and continuous workplace surveillance are fundamental components of occupational health management within modern food processing industries. [33]

### **Respiratory Disorders among Food Processing Industry Workers**

Respiratory disorders are among the most prevalent and clinically significant occupational diseases affecting workers in food processing industries. Continuous exposure to flour dust, organic particles, vapors, fumes, enzymes, microorganisms, and airborne allergens during food manufacturing processes contributes substantially to respiratory morbidity among exposed workers. Milling, mixing, baking, packaging, grain handling, and animal product processing generate airborne contaminants that may penetrate the respiratory tract and induce inflammatory, allergic, obstructive, or fibrotic pulmonary reactions. The severity of respiratory impairment depends on the concentration and duration of exposure, particle size, ventilation quality, smoking status, individual susceptibility, and preexisting respiratory conditions. In many industrial settings, insufficient dust control systems and inadequate respiratory protection further increase occupational respiratory risks. [34,35]

Occupational asthma is considered one of the most common respiratory diseases in food processing industries, particularly among bakery and flour mill workers. Occupational asthma is defined as asthma caused directly by workplace exposure to sensitizing agents or irritants and is classified into allergic and non-allergic forms. In food industries, the allergic form predominates and commonly results from sensitization to cereal flours, fungal enzymes, grain mites, spices, seafood proteins, and food additives. Flour dust contains multiple allergenic proteins capable of triggering immunoglobulin E (IgE)-mediated hypersensitivity reactions leading to airway inflammation and bronchial hyperresponsiveness. Workers



typically present with wheezing, chest tightness, cough, dyspnea, and nasal symptoms that worsen during working hours and improve away from the workplace. Persistent exposure may eventually result in chronic irreversible airway obstruction and long-term decline in lung function. [36,37]

Bakery workers represent one of the highest-risk occupational groups for respiratory disorders because of repeated exposure to flour dust and baking additives during dough preparation and handling processes. Respirable flour particles smaller than 5  $\mu\text{m}$  may bypass upper airway defense mechanisms and deposit deeply within the bronchi and alveoli. Besides wheat flour itself, bakery dust may contain fungal  $\alpha$ -amylase, storage mites, preservatives, contaminants, and microbial products that amplify airway sensitization and inflammation. Occupational rhinitis frequently precedes occupational asthma and may serve as an early clinical indicator of airway sensitization among bakery employees. Poor workplace ventilation, manual flour pouring, dry sweeping methods, and inadequate use of respiratory protective equipment further contribute to elevated exposure levels in bakery environments. [38,39]

Chronic exposure to organic dusts, fumes, and vapors in food processing facilities may also contribute to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and chronic bronchitis. COPD is characterized by progressive airflow limitation resulting from chronic airway inflammation, emphysematous destruction, and structural remodeling of the respiratory tract. Although cigarette smoking remains the principal cause of COPD globally, occupational exposure to dusts and irritants has emerged as an important independent risk factor. Workers exposed to grain dust, smoke, bioaerosols, chemical vapors, and combustion products may develop chronic cough, sputum production, wheezing, and exertional dyspnea. Long-term occupational exposure may accelerate lung function decline and worsen disease severity, especially when combined with smoking and inadequate occupational health surveillance. [40,41]

Biological agents present in food processing environments may additionally contribute to occupational respiratory diseases. Exposure to fungal spores, endotoxins, bacteria, and animal-derived proteins may trigger hypersensitivity pneumonitis, allergic alveolitis, respiratory infections, and fungal-related pulmonary disorders. Poultry processing and agricultural food industries are particularly associated with exposure to *Aspergillus* species, avian proteins, and zoonotic pathogens capable of inducing severe respiratory complications. Humid working conditions and inadequate environmental sanitation may facilitate microbial proliferation and bioaerosol generation within industrial facilities, thereby increasing respiratory exposure risks among workers. [42]

The diagnosis and surveillance of occupational respiratory diseases require a multidisciplinary approach integrating occupational history, clinical evaluation, pulmonary function testing, and workplace exposure assessment. Spirometry remains the primary functional test used to detect airflow limitation and assess lung function decline among exposed workers. Bronchodilator responsiveness testing, serial peak expiratory flow (PEF) monitoring, and bronchial provocation tests are valuable tools for diagnosing occupational asthma and differentiating it from non-occupational respiratory conditions. Advanced diagnostic techniques such as fractional exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) measurement may additionally help evaluate airway inflammation in sensitized workers. Imaging modalities including chest radiography and high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) are useful for detecting chronic structural changes, emphysema, fibrosis, and occupational lung abnormalities. Early identification of respiratory impairment is essential for preventing disease progression and improving long-term occupational health outcomes. [43,44]

Respiratory disorders among food processing workers impose considerable socioeconomic and occupational burdens through absenteeism, reduced productivity, healthcare costs, disability, and loss of employment. Persistent respiratory symptoms may impair physical performance, sleep quality, and overall quality of life, particularly among workers with advanced chronic disease. Consequently, implementation of effective preventive measures including engineering controls, local exhaust ventilation, exposure monitoring, respiratory protection programs, medical surveillance, and worker education is essential for minimizing respiratory hazards and protecting workers within food processing industries. [45]



### **Auditory Disorders among Food Processing Industry Workers**

Occupational noise exposure represents one of the most common physical hazards encountered in food processing industries and constitutes a major cause of preventable hearing impairment among industrial workers. Food manufacturing facilities commonly utilize heavy machinery such as grinders, compressors, conveyors, mixers, milling systems, bottling lines, refrigeration units, and packaging equipment that continuously generate hazardous noise levels. In many production environments, workers remain exposed to elevated noise intensities for prolonged working hours, often exceeding internationally recommended occupational exposure limits. The risk becomes more pronounced in facilities with inadequate acoustic insulation, poor machine maintenance, crowded production areas, and limited implementation of hearing conservation programs. Consequently, auditory disorders have become an important occupational health concern within modern food processing industries. [46,47]

Noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL) is the most prevalent auditory disorder associated with occupational noise exposure in food processing facilities. NIHL is a gradual, irreversible sensorineural hearing impairment resulting from chronic damage to cochlear hair cells caused by excessive acoustic stimulation. Initially, workers may experience difficulty hearing high-frequency sounds, impaired speech discrimination in noisy environments, and temporary threshold shifts after work shifts. Continued exposure eventually leads to permanent hearing deficits affecting communication ability, occupational performance, and social interaction. Flour milling, rice processing, sugar production, beverage manufacturing, and palm oil industries are among the food sectors most frequently associated with hazardous occupational noise levels and increased prevalence of NIHL. [48,49]

The pathophysiology of occupational hearing loss involves mechanical and metabolic injury to cochlear sensory structures. Excessive noise exposure produces oxidative stress, vascular compromise, and direct mechanical damage within the organ of Corti, ultimately leading to degeneration of outer hair cells and auditory neural pathways. Continuous noise exposure may additionally affect central auditory processing and speech recognition abilities. Besides hearing impairment itself, chronic occupational noise exposure has been associated with tinnitus, sleep disturbances, fatigue, reduced concentration, irritability, anxiety, and decreased quality of life. Noise exposure also activates the sympathetic nervous system and stress-related hormonal pathways, potentially contributing to hypertension, cardiovascular disorders, and psychological stress among exposed workers. [50,51]

Several individual and occupational factors influence susceptibility to occupational auditory disorders among food processing workers. Increasing age, smoking, diabetes mellitus, previous ear diseases, and genetic predisposition may increase vulnerability to hearing damage. Occupational factors such as long work duration, rotating shifts, cumulative exposure years, poor compliance with hearing protection devices, and combined exposure to vibration or ototoxic chemicals may further aggravate hearing impairment. Recent evidence suggests that simultaneous exposure to industrial dust and noise may produce synergistic adverse effects on auditory function by enhancing oxidative stress and cochlear injury. Consequently, workers exposed to mixed occupational hazards may develop hearing impairment even at relatively lower noise intensities compared with isolated noise exposure. [52,53]

Tinnitus is another frequently reported auditory symptom among workers exposed to chronic occupational noise. It is characterized by the perception of ringing, buzzing, or humming sounds in the absence of external acoustic stimuli. Tinnitus may occur independently or coexist with varying degrees of hearing loss and can significantly impair sleep quality, concentration, emotional well-being, and occupational productivity. Persistent tinnitus has additionally been linked to anxiety, depression, irritability, and psychosocial stress, particularly among workers exposed to prolonged high-intensity industrial noise. In some individuals, tinnitus may appear before measurable hearing loss becomes clinically detectable, making it an important early indicator of occupational auditory damage. [54]

Assessment and surveillance of occupational auditory disorders require comprehensive audiological evaluation and regular hearing monitoring programs. Pure-tone audiometry remains the standard diagnostic method for detecting occupational hearing threshold shifts and evaluating the severity of hearing impairment. Additional assessments such as otoacoustic emissions (OAE), auditory brainstem



response (ABR), and speech-in-noise testing may help identify early cochlear dysfunction before clinically apparent hearing loss develops. Occupational hearing surveillance programs should include baseline audiometric examinations at employment initiation followed by periodic hearing evaluations throughout employment duration. Early identification of hearing deterioration is essential for implementing timely preventive interventions and reducing long-term auditory disability among exposed workers. [55,56]

The occupational and socioeconomic consequences of auditory disorders among food processing workers are substantial. Hearing impairment may compromise workplace communication, increase accident risk, reduce productivity, and impair social interaction and psychological well-being. In severe cases, permanent hearing loss may result in occupational disability and reduced employability. Therefore, implementation of effective hearing conservation programs including engineering noise control, machine maintenance, exposure monitoring, worker education, administrative controls, and proper use of hearing protective devices is essential for protecting auditory health within food processing industries. [57]

### **Musculoskeletal Disorders among Food Processing Industry Workers**

Work-related musculoskeletal disorders (WMSDs) are among the most prevalent occupational health problems affecting workers in food processing industries and represent a major cause of disability, absenteeism, reduced productivity, and long-term functional impairment. Food processing operations commonly involve repetitive manual activities, forceful exertions, awkward postures, prolonged standing, repetitive lifting, carrying heavy loads, and high work pace, all of which contribute significantly to biomechanical stress on muscles, tendons, ligaments, joints, and peripheral nerves. The increasing mechanization of food industries has reduced some physically demanding tasks; however, many production lines still rely heavily on repetitive labor-intensive activities that predispose workers to cumulative musculoskeletal trauma. [58,59]

Musculoskeletal disorders in food processing workers commonly affect the neck, shoulders, wrists, elbows, upper limbs, lower back, hips, knees, and ankles. Upper extremity disorders are particularly frequent because workers repeatedly perform cutting, sorting, trimming, packaging, and assembly-line tasks using sustained or repetitive hand movements. Low back pain is another major occupational complaint resulting from prolonged standing, manual material handling, lifting heavy objects, and trunk bending activities. Workers may initially present with pain, stiffness, numbness, fatigue, swelling, reduced grip strength, or restricted joint movement, which may progressively worsen into chronic disability if exposure persists without adequate intervention. [60,61]

Ergonomic risk factors play a central role in the development of WMSDs within food processing environments. Repetitive movements performed at high frequency without sufficient recovery periods can lead to cumulative microtrauma and overuse injuries affecting muscles and tendons. Awkward body postures such as excessive neck flexion, shoulder elevation, wrist deviation, squatting, and trunk bending increase mechanical strain on joints and soft tissues. Forceful exertions during lifting, pushing, pulling, cutting, or handling heavy products further intensify biomechanical stress and accelerate tissue fatigue. Additionally, prolonged static standing on hard surfaces contributes to lower limb discomfort, venous congestion, and spinal loading. Inadequate workstation design, unsuitable equipment dimensions, and poorly organized workflow may further aggravate ergonomic stress among workers. [62,63]

Psychosocial and organizational factors additionally contribute to the occurrence and severity of musculoskeletal disorders among food processing employees. High production demands, time pressure, monotonous tasks, job dissatisfaction, low decision-making autonomy, insufficient staffing, and inadequate rest periods may increase muscular tension and amplify pain perception. Seasonal workers in food processing industries are particularly vulnerable because production activities are often condensed into short intensive periods requiring prolonged shifts and accelerated work pace. Such working patterns increase physical overload and reduce opportunities for musculoskeletal recovery, thereby contributing to chronic and recurrent symptoms. [64]



Several studies have demonstrated a high prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms among workers in meat processing, poultry industries, seafood processing plants, bakeries, and packaging facilities. Repetitive knife handling in meat and poultry processing industries is strongly associated with upper limb disorders, tendinitis, carpal tunnel syndrome, and shoulder injuries. Similarly, workers in bakery industries often experience wrist, shoulder, and low back pain due to repetitive dough handling, lifting flour bags, and prolonged standing. Cold working environments in frozen food industries may further aggravate musculoskeletal symptoms by increasing muscle stiffness, reducing circulation, and impairing manual dexterity. Women workers may additionally experience higher rates of musculoskeletal complaints because of differences in task allocation, muscle strength, and ergonomic adaptation. [65,66] The pathophysiology of WMSDs involves cumulative mechanical overload exceeding tissue repair capacity. Repeated biomechanical strain may produce microscopic injuries within muscles, tendons, ligaments, intervertebral discs, and peripheral nerves, leading to chronic inflammation, fibrosis, nerve compression, and degenerative changes. Sustained muscle contraction associated with static postures may impair local blood circulation and oxygen delivery, thereby accelerating muscle fatigue and pain development. In chronic conditions, persistent inflammation and altered neuromuscular function may contribute to long-term disability and reduced functional performance. Psychological stress may additionally influence pain perception and muscular tension through neuroendocrine mechanisms. [67] Assessment of occupational musculoskeletal disorders requires comprehensive clinical and ergonomic evaluation. Occupational history should identify repetitive tasks, posture-related exposures, manual handling activities, work duration, and psychosocial stressors. Physical examination typically focuses on pain localization, range of motion, muscular strength, neurological findings, and functional impairment. Ergonomic assessment tools such as Rapid Upper Limb Assessment (RULA), Rapid Entire Body Assessment (REBA), and Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaires are commonly used to evaluate biomechanical risk factors and symptom prevalence within workplaces. Early detection and intervention are essential for preventing progression into chronic disability and maintaining workers' functional capacity. [68]

The occupational and socioeconomic burden of WMSDs in food processing industries is substantial. Chronic musculoskeletal pain contributes to absenteeism, presenteeism, reduced work efficiency, increased healthcare utilization, compensation costs, and early retirement. Severe long-term musculoskeletal disorders may impair workers' ability to perform occupational tasks and negatively affect psychological well-being and quality of life. Consequently, implementation of comprehensive ergonomic interventions, workstation redesign, workload reduction, worker training, task rotation, scheduled rest breaks, and workplace exercise programs is essential for minimizing musculoskeletal risk and improving occupational health outcomes among food processing workers. [69]

### **Additional Occupational Health Hazards in Food Processing Industries**

In addition to respiratory, auditory, and musculoskeletal disorders, workers in food processing industries are exposed to several other occupational hazards that may significantly affect their physical and psychological health. These hazards include occupational injuries, skin disorders, thermal stress, and biological exposures resulting from the complex industrial environment and the diversity of food production activities. Although these hazards may receive less attention compared with major chronic occupational diseases, they contribute substantially to workplace morbidity, lost productivity, healthcare expenditures, and reduced quality of life among industrial workers. Comprehensive occupational health programs should therefore address these additional risks as part of integrated workplace safety management. [70,71]

Occupational injuries are highly prevalent in food processing facilities because workers frequently interact with sharp tools, heavy machinery, slippery surfaces, hot equipment, moving production lines, and high-speed processing systems. Common injuries include cuts, lacerations, puncture wounds, burns, fractures, eye injuries, slips, falls, and crush accidents. Meat and poultry processing industries are particularly associated with knife-related injuries and repetitive cutting accidents, while bakery and cooking facilities commonly expose workers to burns and scald injuries. Wet floors, accumulated food



residues, inadequate machine guarding, poor housekeeping, and insufficient worker training further increase injury risk within industrial environments. Occupational injuries may lead to temporary disability, permanent functional impairment, psychological stress, and increased absenteeism among affected workers. [72,73]

Skin disorders are another important occupational health concern among food processing workers. Occupational contact dermatitis is considered one of the most common work-related skin diseases in this sector and commonly results from repeated exposure to food ingredients, detergents, disinfectants, gloves, moisture, and cleaning chemicals. Workers engaged in food preparation, bakery production, seafood handling, and cleaning operations frequently perform wet work involving repeated hand washing and prolonged glove use, both of which disrupt the skin barrier and predispose to irritant dermatitis. Additionally, exposure to flour proteins, spices, enzymes, preservatives, seafood allergens, and rubber additives may trigger allergic contact dermatitis and hypersensitivity reactions. Clinically, workers may present with erythema, itching, dryness, fissures, scaling, vesicles, and painful skin lesions that may impair manual performance and occupational productivity. [74,75]

Thermal hazards represent another significant occupational challenge in food processing industries. Workers employed in bakeries, commercial kitchens, sterilization units, and food canning plants are frequently exposed to excessive heat generated by ovens, steam systems, furnaces, and inadequate workplace ventilation. Prolonged heat exposure may impair thermoregulation and lead to dehydration, fatigue, heat cramps, heat exhaustion, and potentially life-threatening heat stroke. Heat stress may additionally reduce concentration, increase accident risk, and impair physical work capacity. Environmental factors such as humidity, radiant heat, air movement, and workload intensity strongly influence heat stress severity. Climate change and rising ambient temperatures have further increased concerns regarding occupational heat exposure in industrial workplaces, particularly in low-resource settings with inadequate cooling systems. [76]

Conversely, workers in frozen food industries, cold storage facilities, seafood processing plants, and refrigerated warehouses are exposed to cold-related occupational hazards. Chronic exposure to low temperatures may cause vasoconstriction, numbness, reduced dexterity, cold-induced pain, musculoskeletal discomfort, respiratory irritation, and increased cardiovascular strain. Severe cold exposure may result in frostbite, trench foot, or hypothermia in inadequately protected workers. Cold environments may additionally impair psychomotor performance, coordination, and manual precision, thereby increasing accident risk. In frozen food industries, prolonged standing in cold conditions combined with repetitive manual tasks may further aggravate musculoskeletal symptoms and worker fatigue. [77,78]

Biological hazards are particularly important in meat processing, poultry industries, dairy production, seafood handling, and agricultural food sectors where workers may encounter bacteria, viruses, fungi, parasites, and zoonotic pathogens. Occupational exposure to bovine tuberculosis, brucellosis, avian influenza, salmonellosis, and fungal infections has been reported among slaughterhouse workers, butchers, poultry handlers, and dairy employees. Humid industrial environments may facilitate microbial growth and bioaerosol formation, thereby increasing respiratory and allergic health risks among workers. Additionally, accidental contact with contaminated animal tissues, blood products, waste materials, or infected aerosols may increase transmission risk of infectious diseases within food processing environments. [79,80]

Psychological and psychosocial stressors are increasingly recognized as important occupational health concerns in food processing industries. Long working shifts, repetitive monotonous tasks, production pressure, job insecurity, shift work, insufficient recovery periods, and physically demanding workloads may contribute to stress, anxiety, depression, fatigue, and sleep disturbances among workers. Chronic occupational stress may additionally exacerbate musculoskeletal pain, cardiovascular strain, and reduced immune function. Industrial restructuring and automation may further increase psychological demands by altering work organization and reducing worker autonomy. Consequently, psychosocial risk management should be integrated into occupational health programs within modern food processing



industries. [81]

The coexistence of multiple occupational hazards within food processing facilities highlights the need for comprehensive and multidisciplinary occupational health strategies. Effective prevention requires integration of engineering controls, workplace monitoring, ergonomic interventions, worker education, medical surveillance, infection control measures, and psychosocial support programs. Addressing these additional occupational hazards is essential for improving worker safety, reducing disease burden, and promoting sustainable occupational health practices in food processing industries. [82]

### **Prevention and Control Strategies**

Prevention and control of occupational hazards in food processing industries require a comprehensive multidisciplinary approach integrating engineering solutions, administrative measures, medical surveillance, worker education, and regulatory compliance. Because food processing environments involve simultaneous exposure to respiratory irritants, excessive noise, ergonomic strain, thermal stress, biological agents, and mechanical hazards, preventive strategies should target both workplace conditions and individual worker protection. Effective occupational health programs not only reduce disease burden and occupational injuries but also improve productivity, decrease absenteeism, and enhance long-term workforce sustainability. Modern occupational medicine therefore emphasizes proactive prevention through hazard identification, exposure reduction, and continuous workplace monitoring rather than relying solely on treatment after disease occurrence. [83,84]

The hierarchy of controls represents the fundamental framework for occupational hazard prevention and is considered the most effective strategy for minimizing workplace risks. This approach prioritizes elimination of hazards whenever possible, followed by substitution, engineering controls, administrative controls, and finally personal protective equipment (PPE). Elimination and substitution are considered the most effective methods because they directly remove or replace hazardous exposures from the workplace. Examples include replacing highly allergenic materials with safer alternatives, reducing unnecessary manual handling tasks through automation, or using low-noise machinery in industrial production lines. Although complete elimination of hazards may not always be feasible in food processing environments, integrating preventive considerations during workplace and process design substantially reduces occupational risks. [85]

Engineering controls constitute one of the most important preventive measures in food processing industries because they reduce exposure at the source before hazards reach workers. Local exhaust ventilation systems, enclosed processing units, dust suppression systems, machine guarding, noise insulation, automated handling systems, and climate-control technologies significantly reduce occupational exposure levels. In bakery and flour industries, effective ventilation and enclosed flour transfer systems help minimize airborne dust concentrations and respiratory sensitization. Similarly, acoustic insulation, vibration reduction, and routine maintenance of industrial machinery contribute to lowering workplace noise exposure. Ergonomically designed workstations, adjustable equipment, anti-fatigue flooring, and mechanical lifting devices additionally reduce biomechanical stress and the occurrence of musculoskeletal disorders among workers. [86,87]

Administrative controls aim to reduce occupational exposure through modifications in work organization, scheduling, training, and workplace procedures. Job rotation, workload distribution, scheduled rest breaks, limitation of exposure duration, preventive maintenance programs, and safe work protocols are commonly implemented administrative measures in food processing facilities. Worker education and training programs are essential components of occupational prevention because they improve awareness regarding workplace hazards, proper equipment use, ergonomic techniques, respiratory protection, and emergency procedures. Training should additionally include safe knife handling, machine operation, chemical handling, hygiene practices, and recognition of early symptoms of occupational diseases. New employees and seasonal workers particularly require close supervision and continuous safety orientation because of their increased vulnerability to occupational injuries and exposure-related disorders. [88]

Personal protective equipment remains an important supportive component of occupational hazard



control, particularly when engineering and administrative controls alone cannot adequately reduce exposure levels. Respiratory protective devices such as particulate respirators are commonly used to protect workers against organic dusts, aerosols, and airborne contaminants in flour mills and food manufacturing plants. Hearing protection devices including earplugs and earmuffs help reduce noise exposure and prevent occupational hearing loss in high-noise production environments. Protective gloves, non-slip footwear, thermal clothing, eye protection, and protective uniforms additionally reduce risks related to chemical exposure, injuries, thermal stress, and biological hazards. However, PPE effectiveness depends heavily on proper selection, fit testing, worker compliance, maintenance, and continuous supervision. [89,90]

Occupational health surveillance programs are critical for the early detection and prevention of work-related diseases in food processing workers. Periodic medical examinations allow identification of early respiratory impairment, hearing deterioration, musculoskeletal symptoms, dermatological conditions, and heat-related illnesses before progression into severe chronic disease. Spirometry and pulmonary function testing are essential for monitoring workers exposed to flour dust and respiratory irritants, while audiometric surveillance programs are necessary for employees exposed to excessive occupational noise. Ergonomic assessments and musculoskeletal screening tools additionally help identify workers at high risk for cumulative trauma disorders. Early identification of affected workers facilitates timely intervention, exposure reduction, job modification, and rehabilitation measures that may prevent permanent disability. [91]

Heat and cold stress prevention requires specific environmental and organizational interventions depending on workplace conditions. In hot environments such as bakeries and kitchens, ventilation systems, cooling devices, hydration programs, acclimatization schedules, and mandatory rest periods are essential for reducing heat-related illness. Workers exposed to cold environments in frozen food industries should be provided with insulated protective clothing, heated rest areas, and scheduled warming breaks. Environmental monitoring using heat stress indices and temperature surveillance additionally assists in identifying hazardous working conditions and implementing appropriate preventive measures. [92]

Psychosocial risk management is increasingly recognized as an important component of occupational health prevention within food processing industries. Reducing excessive workload, improving worker participation, ensuring adequate staffing, promoting work-life balance, and providing psychological support programs may reduce occupational stress and improve overall worker well-being. Integration of psychosocial health promotion with traditional occupational safety programs contributes to improved job satisfaction, reduced burnout, and better long-term occupational outcomes. [93]

Effective prevention and control of occupational disorders in food processing industries require continuous collaboration among employers, occupational physicians, industrial hygienists, ergonomists, safety engineers, and policymakers. Strong occupational health regulations, worker participation, regular workplace inspections, and evidence-based preventive strategies are essential for minimizing occupational disease burden and promoting safer industrial environments. As food processing industries continue to evolve technologically and economically, occupational health systems must also adapt to emerging workplace hazards and changing industrial conditions to ensure sustainable worker protection. [94]

Despite the growing recognition of occupational health hazards within food processing industries, several important scientific and practical gaps remain insufficiently addressed. Most currently available studies focus on single occupational exposures or isolated disease outcomes, whereas food processing workers are commonly exposed to multiple simultaneous hazards including dust, noise, ergonomic strain, thermal stress, and psychosocial burden. The interaction between these combined exposures and their cumulative long-term health effects remains inadequately understood. Furthermore, many occupational investigations are cross-sectional in design, limiting the ability to establish causal relationships between workplace exposures and chronic disease progression. Therefore, future longitudinal and multicenter studies are needed to better evaluate cumulative occupational risks and



long-term worker health outcomes in food processing industries. [95,96]

One major research gap involves the limited availability of exposure-response data specific to food processing sectors, particularly in low- and middle-income countries where occupational health services and industrial hygiene monitoring remain insufficient. Most available evidence originates from developed industrial settings, while rapidly expanding food industries in developing countries often lack adequate occupational surveillance systems, engineering controls, and standardized exposure measurements. In countries with growing industrial sectors such as Egypt and other developing economies, there is a critical need for national occupational exposure databases, industry-specific risk assessment studies, and standardized epidemiological monitoring systems capable of identifying high-risk worker populations and evaluating workplace compliance with occupational safety standards. [97] Emerging industrial technologies are also changing the occupational health landscape within food processing industries. Automation, robotics, artificial intelligence (AI), and smart manufacturing systems are increasingly integrated into modern food production facilities to improve productivity and quality control. Although automation may reduce certain physical and repetitive hazards, it may simultaneously introduce new occupational challenges including psychosocial stress, human-machine interaction risks, prolonged sedentary monitoring tasks, cognitive overload, and altered ergonomic demands. Future occupational health research should therefore investigate the health implications of Industry 4.0 technologies and evaluate how digital transformation may reshape occupational exposure profiles within food industries. [98]

Climate change represents another emerging occupational health challenge requiring greater scientific attention. Rising ambient temperatures, heat waves, and altered environmental conditions may substantially increase occupational heat stress among workers employed in bakeries, outdoor agricultural food industries, transportation sectors, and poorly ventilated manufacturing facilities. Simultaneously, increasing reliance on refrigeration and frozen food systems may intensify cold-related occupational exposure in certain sectors. Future studies should focus on climate-adaptive occupational health strategies, environmental monitoring systems, hydration protocols, and heat prevention policies capable of protecting vulnerable industrial workers under changing climatic conditions. [99]

Psychosocial and mental health aspects of food processing work remain comparatively underexplored despite their significant impact on worker well-being and occupational performance. Long working hours, repetitive monotonous activities, production pressure, job insecurity, shift work, and physically demanding tasks may contribute to anxiety, depression, burnout, sleep disturbances, and reduced job satisfaction among workers. Moreover, psychosocial stress may interact with physical exposures and exacerbate musculoskeletal pain, cardiovascular strain, and reduced immune function. Future occupational health programs should therefore incorporate mental health surveillance, psychosocial risk assessment, and worker-centered interventions alongside traditional industrial safety measures. [100]

Another important area requiring further development is the implementation of integrated occupational health surveillance systems combining exposure assessment, medical monitoring, and digital technologies. Wearable sensors, real-time environmental monitoring systems, smart hearing protection devices, AI-assisted ergonomic analysis, and predictive occupational health models may improve early detection of workplace hazards and facilitate personalized preventive strategies. However, evidence regarding the effectiveness, feasibility, and cost-efficiency of these technologies within food processing environments remains limited and warrants further investigation. [101]

From a policy perspective, stronger collaboration between occupational physicians, industrial hygienists, engineers, employers, and governmental authorities is essential for improving workplace safety standards and regulatory enforcement. Many food processing facilities, particularly in resource-limited settings, continue to experience inadequate implementation of occupational health regulations, limited worker training, and insufficient preventive infrastructure. Future occupational health policies should prioritize preventive occupational medicine, worker education, engineering modernization, and universal access to occupational health services in order to reduce disease burden and improve industrial sustainability. [102]



Overall, future occupational health research in food processing industries should move beyond isolated hazard assessment toward integrated multidisciplinary approaches that consider combined exposures, emerging technologies, climate-related risks, psychosocial factors, and long-term worker well-being. Addressing these research gaps is essential for developing evidence-based occupational health strategies capable of protecting workers in an evolving global food production environment. [103]

### Conclusion

Workers in food processing industries are exposed to a wide range of occupational hazards that substantially increase the risk of respiratory, auditory, and musculoskeletal disorders, in addition to other physical, biological, and psychosocial health problems. Chronic exposure to organic dusts, industrial noise, repetitive manual tasks, thermal stress, and hazardous workplace conditions contributes significantly to occupational morbidity, reduced productivity, and impaired quality of life among affected workers. Effective prevention requires an integrated occupational health approach based on hazard identification, engineering and administrative controls, medical surveillance, worker education, and strict implementation of workplace safety regulations. As food processing industries continue to expand and modernize globally, strengthening occupational health systems and adopting evidence-based preventive strategies will be essential for protecting worker health, improving industrial sustainability, and reducing the long-term burden of occupational diseases.

### References

1. Ristic D, Bender D, Jaeger H, Heinz V, Smetana S. Towards a definition of food processing: conceptualization and relevant parameters. *Food Production, Processing and Nutrition*. 2024;6(1):79. doi:10.1186/s43014-024-00231-8
2. Petrus RR, do Amaral Sobral PJ, Tadini CC, Gonçalves CB. The changing role of food processing in the modern food system. *Food Research International*. 2021;141:110268. doi:10.1016/j.foodres.2021.110268
3. Saha D, Sundaram M, Mahapatra BS. Food processing and nutrition security. *Journal of Food Processing & Technology*. 2016;7(4):581. doi:10.4172/2157-7110.1000581
4. Pakdel PM, et al. Sustainable food processing and food security challenges. *Sustainability*. 2023;15(9):7421. doi:10.3390/su15097421
5. Dapari R, et al. Occupational injuries and workplace hazards among food industry workers. *BMC Public Health*. 2023;23:2145. doi:10.1186/s12889-023-16942-1
6. Habib RR, El Zein K, Hojeij S. Heat stress and occupational health in industrial workers. *International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics*. 2021;27(2):506-518. doi:10.1080/10803548.2019.1708708
7. Poussel M, Thon I, Penven E, Tiotiu AI. Pulmonary function testing in work-related asthma: An overview from spirometry to specific inhalation challenge. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2021;18(5):2325. doi:10.3390/ijerph18052325
8. Krishnan KS, Raju G, Shawkataly O. Prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal disorders: Psychological and occupational risk factors. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*. 2021;22:17. doi:10.1186/s12891-020-03864-0
9. Lee J, Bambrick M, Lau A, Tarlo SM, McInnis M. Computed tomography of contemporary occupational lung disease: A pictorial review. *Diagnostics*. 2024;14(16):1786. doi:10.3390/diagnostics14161786
10. United States Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service. Food and beverage manufacturing. USDA ERS. Published 2025. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://www.ers.usda.gov/topics/food-markets-prices/processing-marketing/food-and-beverage-manufacturing>
11. Fourie A. Food manufacturing versus food processing. Tequisa Food Solutions. Published 2022. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://tequisa.co.za/food-manufacturing-vs-processing/>
12. Goupi M, Major ME. Seasonal work organization and musculoskeletal disorders in food industries. *Ergonomics*. 2024;67(3):411-425. doi:10.1080/00140139.2023.2284157
13. Enitan SS, et al. Occupational hazards in bakery and food processing industries. *Safety and Health at Work*. 2023;14(2):145-154. doi:10.1016/j.shaw.2023.02.004
14. Režek A, et al. Artificial intelligence applications in food processing industries. *Foods*. 2021;10(9):2184. doi:10.3390/foods10092184
15. Addanki S, et al. Artificial intelligence and automation in dairy and food industries. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*. 2022;198:107021. doi:10.1016/j.compag.2022.107021
16. Kamel M, Bilali HE. Sustainability transition in Egypt's agri-food system. *Sustainability*. 2020;12(9):3577. doi:10.3390/su12093577
17. State Information Service. Food Industries City in Egypt. SIS Egypt. Published 2023. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://www.sis.gov.eg/>



<https://sis.gov.eg/en/projects-initiatives/projects/food-industries-city/>

18. Murgia N, Gambelunghe A. Occupational respiratory diseases prevention and health surveillance strategies. *Medicina del Lavoro*. 2022;113(3):e2022021. doi:10.23749/mdl.v113i3.13109
19. Doney B, Kurth L, Syamlal G. Chronic bronchitis and emphysema among workers exposed to dust, vapors, or fumes by industry and occupation. *Archives of Environmental & Occupational Health*. 2022;77(7):525-529. doi:10.1080/19338244.2021.1967192
20. Md Y, et al. Occupational asthma in industrial workers: Exposure characteristics and health outcomes. *Journal of Asthma*. 2023;60(5):881-892.
21. Hon KL, et al. Occupational contact and respiratory allergies in food preparation workers. *Allergy and Asthma Proceedings*. 2021;42(6):e121-e128. doi:10.2500/aap.2021.42.210071
22. Fortis S, Georgopoulos D, Tzanakis N, et al. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and COPD-like phenotypes. *Frontiers in Medicine*. 2024;11:1375457. doi:10.3389/fmed.2024.1375457
23. World Health Organization. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). WHO. Published 2024. Accessed May 26, 2026. [https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/chronic-obstructive-pulmonary-disease-\(copd\)](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/chronic-obstructive-pulmonary-disease-(copd))
24. Abd El-Ghany WA. Aspergillosis in poultry industries and occupational health implications. *Journal of Fungi*. 2021;7(7):537. doi:10.3390/jof7070537
25. Oțelea MR, Fell AKM, Handra CM, et al. The value of fractional exhaled nitric oxide in occupational diseases: A systematic review. *Journal of Occupational Medicine and Toxicology*. 2022;17(1):14. doi:10.1186/s12995-022-00341-2
26. Liu L, Wang F. Analysis of imaging differences between high-resolution CT and digital radiography chest films in pneumoconiosis screening. *Journal of Environmental and Occupational Medicine*. 2025;42(3):369-372. doi:10.11836/JEOM24294
27. Salam SNA, Kamaludin NF, Awang N, et al. Occupational noise exposure and its effects among mill workers: A narrative review. *Noise & Health*. 2024;26(123):461-473. doi:10.4103/nah.NAH\_65\_23
28. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Occupational hearing loss surveillance: Overall statistics – all U.S. industries. CDC/NIOSH. Published 2024. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/noise/surveillance/overall.html>
29. Albayrak C, et al. Noise exposure and hearing problems among flour mill workers. *Work*. 2023;74(2):611-620.
30. Zhou B, Zhang J. Occupational epidemiological characteristics of noise-induced hearing loss and the impact of combined exposure to noise and dust on workers' hearing. *Frontiers in Public Health*. 2024;12:1488065. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2024.1488065
31. Chaharaghran FS, et al. Cardiovascular and psychological effects of occupational noise exposure. *Environmental Health and Preventive Medicine*. 2022;27(1):18. doi:10.1265/ehpm.21-00225
32. Masterson EA, Morata TC, Themann CL. Prevalence of ototoxic chemical exposure, noise exposure and hearing difficulty among workers in the United States. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*. 2023;65(11):e785-e793. doi:10.1097/JOM.0000000000002928
33. Ismail N, Rahmat N. Occupational noise exposure and hearing conservation strategies in industrial workers. *Malaysian Journal of Public Health Medicine*. 2018;18(Special1):89-97.
34. Kohansal B, Asghari M, Habibi M. Occupational noise-induced tinnitus: Auditory behavioral and electrophysiological evaluations. *Auditory and Vestibular Research*. 2023;32(2):85-96. doi:10.18502/avr.v32i2.12545
35. Torres-Ruiz M, et al. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders in industrial workers: Ergonomic and psychosocial perspectives. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2021;18(14):7453. doi:10.3390/ijerph18147453
36. Arturo R, et al. Musculoskeletal disorders and occupational disability in industrial sectors. *Occupational Medicine*. 2020;70(6):415-423.
37. Ayaga A. Ergonomic risk factors among food processing workers. *Journal of Occupational Health*. 2024;66(1):e12478. doi:10.1002/1348-9585.12478
38. Hassanin A, et al. Ergonomic postures and musculoskeletal strain among meat processing workers. *Work*. 2023;75(4):1321-1330. doi:10.3233/WOR-220492
39. Joseph B, et al. Individual and psychosocial predictors of work-related musculoskeletal disorders. *BMC Public Health*. 2023;23:1785. doi:10.1186/s12889-023-16682-2
40. Karthick M, et al. Occupational cold stress and worker health effects in frozen food industries. *Work*. 2023;75(2):623-635. doi:10.3233/WOR-220456
41. Soares CO, et al. Workplace exercise interventions for prevention of musculoskeletal disorders. *Work*. 2020;65(4):849-861. doi:10.3233/WOR-203120
42. Kuijjer PPFM, et al. Global burden and occupational risk factors of musculoskeletal disorders. *The Lancet Rheumatology*. 2024;6(2):e112-e123. doi:10.1016/S2665-9913(23)00301-2
43. Rosle C, et al. Occupational contact dermatitis in food industry workers. *Contact Dermatitis*. 2024;90(2):89-98. doi:10.1111/cod.14412
44. Williams N. Protecting outdoor workers from cold. *Occupational Medicine*. 2023;73(4):230-230. doi:10.1093/occmed/kqad036
45. Mia MT, et al. Occupational zoonotic diseases among food processing and slaughterhouse workers. *One Health*. 2022;15:100425. doi:10.1016/j.onehlt.2022.100425
46. Rugulies R, et al. Psychosocial work environment and occupational health outcomes. *The Lancet Public Health*. 2023;8(11):e792-e803.



doi:10.1016/S2468-2667(23)00198-5

47. Minov J. Levels of prevention in occupational medicine and public health practice. *Open Access Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences*. 2022;10(F):248-255. doi:10.3889/oamjms.2022.8524
48. Asogwa OA, et al. Hierarchy of controls and prevention through design in occupational safety. *Safety and Health at Work*. 2022;13(3):287-295. doi:10.1016/j.shaw.2022.04.003
49. Wizner K, et al. Respiratory protective equipment in occupational environments. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene*. 2018;15(2):D18-D25. doi:10.1080/15459624.2017.1405846
50. Chaaban A. Hearing conservation programs in occupational environments: Modern approaches and effectiveness. *Occupational Health Science*. 2025;9(1):77-91. doi:10.1007/s41542-024-00167-8
51. Tustin AW, et al. Heat-related illness prevention in occupational settings. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*. 2021;63(7):e444-e452. doi:10.1097/JOM.0000000000002212
52. Lyon BK, Popov G. Risk assessment and hierarchy of controls in occupational safety management. *Professional Safety*. 2019;64(1):35-45.
53. Apriyanti S, et al. Occupational safety and health implementation in industrial workplaces. *Journal of Occupational Safety and Health*. 2023;12(1):44-53.
54. Dapari R, et al. Occupational injury patterns among food processing workers in developing countries. *Safety Science*. 2024;171:106377. doi:10.1016/j.ssci.2023.106377
55. Prism Risk Assessment Services. Occupational injury prevention in food industries. PrismRisk. Published 2025. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://prismrisk.gov>
56. Federal Register. Heat injury and illness prevention in outdoor and indoor work settings rulemaking. Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Published 2021. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://www.federalregister.gov>
57. Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Winter weather preparedness: Engineering controls to reduce cold stress. OSHA. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://www.osha.gov/winter-weather/preparedness>
58. Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Occupational noise exposure standards. OSHA. Published 2024. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://www.osha.gov/noise>
59. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Noise and hearing loss prevention. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. Published 2024. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/noise>
60. National Organization for Social Insurance. Social Insurance and Pension Law No. 148 of 2019. Cairo, Egypt: NOSI; 2019. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://www.nosi.gov.eg>
61. Information and Decision Support Center. Food industries as a driver of economic development in Egypt. IDSC Egypt. Published 2024. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://www.idsc.gov.eg>
62. ExpoEgypt. Egyptian food processing industry conference report. ExpoEgypt.gov.eg. Published 2024. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://www.expoegypt.gov.eg>
63. Abdel-Aal ESM. Insights into grain milling and fractionation practices for improved food sustainability with emphasis on wheat and peas. *Foods*. 2024;13(10):1532. doi:10.3390/foods13101532
64. Touzou BJJ, Doudjo SORO, Soronikpoho SORO, Koffi KE. Effect of fermentation on the quality of flour and biscuit from cashew kernel flour. *Food and Environment Safety Journal*. 2021;20(1):36-45.
65. Dai Y, Liu Y, Zhu S, Li Y, Huang D. Lactobacillus fermented chickpeas: Improving dough process quality and biscuit nutritional value. *Food Bioscience*. 2024;62:105404. doi:10.1016/j.fbio.2024.105404
66. Dhal S, Anis A, Shaikh HM, Alhamidi A, Pal K. Effect of mixing time on properties of whole wheat flour-based cookie doughs and cookies. *Foods*. 2023;12(5):941. doi:10.3390/foods12050941
67. Abd El-Baset WS, Almoselhy RI. Effect of baking temperature on quality and safety of school meal biscuits. *Food Science and Applied Biotechnology*. 2023;6(2):250-262. doi:10.30721/fsab2023.v6.i2.250
68. Chaudhary MB, Rao KJ, Sindhav R, et al. Influence of packaging materials on the quality and shelf life of Mohanthal during storage. *Scientific Reports*. 2025;15:2241. doi:10.1038/s41598-025-2241-7
69. Arfelli F, Roguszewska M, Torta G, et al. Environmental impacts of food packaging: Is it all a matter of raw materials? *Sustainable Production and Consumption*. 2024;49:318-328. doi:10.1016/j.spc.2024.04.018
70. Sasaki Y, Orikasa T, Shiina T. Is food packaging harmful to the environment? A discussion of the direct and indirect influences of food packaging systems. *Food Science and Technology Research*. 2025;31(2):91-98. doi:10.3136/fstr.31.91
71. Narasimmalu R, Ramasamy K. Food processing waste management and resource recovery. *Current Research in Environmental Sustainability*. 2020;2:100017. doi:10.1016/j.crsust.2020.100017
72. Ferdeş M, et al. Food waste management and valorization technologies in developing countries. *Sustainability*. 2022;14(3):1567. doi:10.3390/su14031567
73. Priyadarshini A, et al. Advanced thermal and non-thermal technologies in food processing. *Food Research International*. 2017;100(Pt 1):429-442. doi:10.1016/j.foodres.2017.07.019
74. Mavani NR, et al. Artificial intelligence applications in food supply and processing systems. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*. Cuest.fisioter.2024.53(3):7675-7692



2022;126:74-83. doi:10.1016/j.tifs.2022.05.011

75. Addanki S, Venkataramana M, Revathi P. AI-based automation in dairy and food industries. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*. 2022;198:107021. doi:10.1016/j.compag.2022.107021
76. Adeyeye SAO. The role of food processing and appropriate storage technologies in ensuring food security and food availability in Africa. *Nutrition & Food Science*. 2017;47(1):122-139. doi:10.1108/NFS-03-2016-0047
77. Bizikova L, et al. Sustainable food systems and the SDGs. *Sustainability*. 2020;12(19):8214. doi:10.3390/su12198214
78. Da M, et al. Environmental burden of ultra-processed foods and food systems. *Nature Sustainability*. 2021;4(7):579-588. doi:10.1038/s41893-021-00716-2
79. Kan M, Miller SA. Environmental impacts of plastic food packaging and alternatives. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 2022;56(1):34-55. doi:10.1021/acs.est.1c03267
80. Leal Filho W, et al. Carbon footprints and climate impacts of food production systems. *Science of the Total Environment*. 2022;806(Pt 2):150514. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150514
81. Mahler V. Occupational protein contact dermatitis in bakers. *Current Opinion in Allergy and Clinical Immunology*. 2020;20(2):111-116. doi:10.1097/ACI.0000000000000615
82. Houle MC, et al. Hand dermatitis among bakery and food workers. *Dermatitis*. 2021;32(5):e84-e91. doi:10.1097/DER.0000000000000731
83. Wulfhorst B, et al. Prevention of occupational skin diseases in food industry workers. *Contact Dermatitis*. 2020;83(5):387-395. doi:10.1111/cod.13632
84. Alfonso JH, et al. Occupational skin disease prevention strategies and workplace interventions. *Occupational Medicine*. 2017;67(6):408-414. doi:10.1093/occmed/kqx090
85. Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Lockout/Tagout (Control of Hazardous Energy). OSHA. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://www.osha.gov/control-hazardous-energy>
86. Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Frostbite and cold stress prevention. OSHA. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://www.osha.gov/winter-weather/cold-stress>
87. International Labour Organization. Workplace heat exposure limits and WBGT guidance. ILO. Published 2017. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://www.ilo.org>
88. CDC/NIOSH. Hierarchy of controls. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/hierarchy/default.html>
89. World Health Organization. Musculoskeletal health. WHO. Published 2021. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/musculoskeletal-conditions>
90. Seng V, et al. Rice processing steps and post-harvest technologies. *Journal of Food Engineering*. 2018;229:28-37. doi:10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2017.12.019
91. Goupi M, Major ME. Seasonal work organization and musculoskeletal disorders in food industries. *Ergonomics*. 2024;67(3):411-425. doi:10.1080/00140139.2023.2284157
92. WHO. Occupational health: Protecting workers' health. World Health Organization. Published 2023. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/protecting-workers-health>
93. CDC. Workplace safety and health topics. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/default.html>
94. OSHA. Personal protective equipment standards. Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://www.osha.gov/personal-protective-equipment>
95. WHO. Occupational noise: Assessing the burden of disease from work-related hearing impairment. World Health Organization. Published 2021. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://www.who.int>
96. CDC/NIOSH. Spirometry in occupational health programs. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/spirometry>
97. OSHA. Ergonomics and musculoskeletal disorders. Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://www.osha.gov/ergonomics>
98. WHO. Global strategy on occupational health for all. World Health Organization. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://www.who.int>
99. International Labour Organization. Occupational safety and health management systems guidelines. ILO. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://www.ilo.org>
100. CDC/NIOSH. Heat stress recommendations and prevention strategies. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/heatstress>
101. OSHA. Occupational exposure to respirable dust and prevention strategies. Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://www.osha.gov>
102. WHO. Healthy workplaces: A model for action. World Health Organization. Published 2010. Accessed May 26, 2026. <https://www.who.int>



---

103. International Labour Organization. Safety and health at the heart of the future of work. ILO. Published 2019. Accessed May 26, 2026.  
<https://www.ilo.org>