



The Influence of Work Life Balance on Turnover Intention in Private Hospitals: The Mediating Role of Work Life Conflicts

Kanchan Thakur^{1*}, Dr. Esha Vatsa²

¹Research Scholar, Jigyasa University (Formerly Himgiri Zee University), Dehradun Uttarakhand, India.

²Assistant Professor, Jigyasa University (Formerly Himgiri Zee University), Dehradun Uttarakhand, India.

Abstract

This research aims to investigate the effects of work-life balance (WLB) practices (schedule flexibility, manager support and job autonomy) on work-life conflict and turnover intentions. The mediating effect of work-life conflict on the relationship between WLB practices and turnover intentions is also investigated. This research was applied to the context of private hospitals in Jordan targeting medical-staff. Questionnaires were randomly distributed to medical-staff and yielded a response rate of 80.6 percent. Reliability and validity tests were first carried out, then data was analyzed using structural equation modeling (SEM). Results revealed that manager support was the only WLB practice that had a significant negative direct effect on turnover intentions. Job autonomy had a negative indirect effect on turnover intentions through work-life conflict. Meanwhile, schedule flexibility had insignificant effect on turnover intentions. Therefore, it was clear that the informal WLB practices (manager support and job autonomy) had the most significant effect and are the determinants of turnover intentions. The mediating role of work-life conflict was only significant with job autonomy. Additionally, the effect of work-life conflict on turnover intentions was positive and significant.

Keyword: Work-life balance, Turnover intentions, Work-life conflicts, Private hospitals.

1. INTRODUCTION

The quest to prioritize one's activities and tasks in a balanced way has long been considered a merit. In fact, this ability has been linked to productivity, time-management as well as to work-life balance (WLB) (Adams and Jex, 1999).

It is evident that WLB has gained vast popularity in the literature during the last two decades (Muna and Mansour, 2009; Koubova and Buchko, 2013). In fact, WLB is considered one of the most powerful human resource tools that have been adopted and implemented by leading business enterprise giants such as Microsoft, Hewlett Packard (HP) and Shell (Dunne, 2007).

WLB is best viewed as a win-win situation in which both employees (along with their families) and the company benefit from a better equilibrium and balance between work and family life (Vloeberghs, 2002). The literature is abundant with evidences that positively correlate WLB to positive outcomes such as profit returns (Beauregard and Henry, 2009), increased shareholder returns (Arthur, 2003), productivity, workplace attitudes and lower turnover intentions (Wilkinson, 2008; Koubova and Buchko, 2013). As a matter of fact, countless benefits can be reaped from adopting WLB and so many studies have been dedicated to investigate WLB's antecedents and consequences (Mas-Machuca, 2016).

Though there are various advantages to employ WLB practices at the workplace, however, these advantages may differ according to industry (Konrad and Mangel, 2000). This could be attributed to the fact that not all WLB practices (such as certain flexible work options) can be a possibility for all industries to offer (Dunne, 2007). And while most studies have been devoted to investigate the role of gender and culture in WLB, little attention has been given to occupational differences (Moore, 2007).

This is where this research comes into play shedding light on a topic that is virtually untapped in developing countries—not least in the MENA region—in the context of healthcare. This is in response to the callings in the literature to further examine WLB and organizational outcomes, especially in the context of developing countries (Roberts et al 2007).

It could be argued that it's essential to study WLB in the healthcare context for the theoretical and practical implications given the stressful context that the field exerts on its employees (Yildirim and Aykan, 2008). Regional research studies conducted in the healthcare sector in Jordan have also highlighted the job stressors medical-staff face in Jordan (Abdallah, 2013).

Additionally, this research is conducted with the intention of igniting a discussion about the importance of WLB practices to be implemented in the private sector in Jordan, especially in Jordanian private



hospitals. The research will also reveal the most influential WLB practices on turnover intentions mediated by work-life conflict in order to draw conclusions specific to a developing country like Jordan.

2. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

2.1 WLB Conceptualization

WLB could be considered as the renovated term for what was initially known as work-family conflict (WFC) (Boswell and Olson-Buchanan, 2007). WFC emerged when increased attention was given by researchers seeking to investigate the clash that can arise when an individual's work interferes (or conflict—hence the term) with his/her family role and vice versa (Adams and Jex, 1999).

Early talk about work and family clashes gained legitimacy when women started to flood into the workforce following World War II (Roberts, 2007). The discussion was further ignited with women struggling to balance their dual roles of wives and mothers on one hand and as professional career women on the other (Konrad and Mangel, 2000; Roberts, 2007; Moore, 2007; Agarwal and Lenka, 2015). At first glance, WLB was seen as women's issue (Moore, 2007). However, not only did the dual family and work responsibilities take a toll on women, men too were suffering when work and career aspirations took priority over family, friends, social affairs and leisure activities (Roberts, et al 2007; Muna and Mansour, et al 2009).

The term work-family conflict was later replaced in the literature with work-life balance to imply that personal life and work should not necessarily be seen as mutually exclusive. Instead, the two can co-exist in a balanced and complementary manner (Muna and Mansour, 2009).

After the introduction of WLB, outbursts of studies were published examining antecedents and consequences of WLB (e.g. Koubova and Buchko, 2013; Mas-Machuca, 2016).

However, some researchers (e.g. Lyness and Judiesch, 2008) attempted to define balance between work and life as being more involved in family role than in work duties. Nevertheless, this contradicting and competing view hinders the balanced perspective of having harmonious interfaces between different life domains (Abendroth and den Dulk, 2011). Though this view seems simplistic, it actually isn't if you take into account a person's limited resources (time, energy, money, etc.) (Koubova and Buchko, 2013).

Back to viewing work and life in a complementary fashion, Graves et al. (2007) suggested a positive correlation between work and personal life as they viewed WLB in light of role accumulation and conservation of resources theories where one's resources are seen as expandable rather than fixed. Thus having a successful personal life pours into positive behaviors and attitudes in the work domain such as job satisfaction as well as overall life satisfaction (Koubova and Buchko, 2013). Another definition of WLB was suggested by Frame and Hartog (2003) who directly linked positive WLB with the ability of employees to freely utilize flexible working hours arrangements to balance work and other personal commitments (family, friends, hobbies, studies, etc.) rather than work consuming other dimensions of life. In conclusion, WLB is subjective differing from one person to another and depends on the individual's perception of their personal capability of balancing work and non-work responsibilities, and, for the most part, do so (Moore, et al 2007).

2.1.1 WLB Dimensions

One concept that has gained spotlight in WLB literature is flexible work options (Beauregard and Henry, 2009). Prior research studies have shown flexible working policies to be the most important factor that improves WLB. Nevertheless, because there is no particular scale that measure this concept it is considered as an ambiguous term (Hill et al., 2008). Flexible work options (also referred to as smarter working by Dunne (2007)) includes flexible work hours (flextime) or schedule flexibility (Carlson, et al., 2010), which allows employees to work a certain number of hours varying their start and finish time to their convenience in addition to compressed weeks (i.e. reducing a standard workweek by working longer hours) (Dunne, 2007; Beauregard and Henry, 2009). In Smith and Carroll's (2002) study, flexible hours were the preferred method of utilizing WLB. Moreover, flexible work options can take on other forms such as job-sharing between two people, Time Off In Lieu (TOIL), part-time work (Ahmad and Omar, 2012) in addition to flexible work arrangements (Dunne, 2007; Koubova and Buchko, 2013). In fact, technology has paved the way to facilitate flexible work arrangements and thus increased possibilities for working remotely from home via internet or intranet, smart phones, etc. (Vloeberghs, 2002) and for that particular reason, Muna and Mansour (2009) stated that the virtual workforce is the phenomenon of twenty-first century. Though there's a consensus that technology in general has facilitated our lives in ways beyond imagination, one can argue that bringing work into homes via technology may hinder the balance that it initially attempted to achieve (Check, 1996). In Vloeberghs' (2002) study, WLB practices could also include initiatives to provide employees with materials such as computers for use at home, cultural, sporting and leisure



facilities, breastfeeding rooms for new mothers, in addition to financial arrangements as insurance, allowances for the care of a sick family member or a subsidy for holiday activities.

Therefore, WLB can be regarded as multi-dimensional. In fact, Anderson et al. (2002) have categorized WLB into formal and informal practices and Ahmad and Omar (2012) have emphasized informal practices to be superior to formal WLB practices. This argument also coincides with Hammer et al. (2005) who claim that informal practices surpass formal ones in helping employees better balance between different aspects of their life.

Informal support means employers offer support in the form of granting employees greater autonomy to manage their work (Behson, 2005), supporting employees who wish to leave work early for personal or family matters (Roehling et al., 2001) in addition to psychological support such as advice about the combination of family and professional life (Vloeberghs, 2002). Practically speaking, informal support could be a good alternative if a company cannot afford the resources to deploy formal WLB practices (Anderson et al. 2002).

Nonetheless, these WLB practices should be properly reflected in Human Resources (HR) strategies which in turn are formulated into WLB-supportive policies. It is essential that HR professionals become responsive to employees who favor flexibility through designing creative work systems, job structures, compensation packages and supportive organizational cultures (Muna and Mansou, 2009) accommodating more conducive systems for those who wish to create more balance in their lives (Todd, 2004). And while these new changes that cater for WLB practices present new challenges for human resource management (Muna and Mansour, 2009), these changes are positively perceived by employees and thus lead to greater mutual commitment between employers and employees (Vloeberghs, 2002).

2.2 WLB classification

2.2.1 Schedule Flexibility

Schedule flexibility (also known as 'flextime') represents a formal WLB dimension and refers to the flexibility granted to employees in choosing their starting and finishing times usually around a band of core hours where each employee must be present (Anderson, et al. 2002; Hill et al., 2008). It was preferred over other forms of flexibility arrangements such as flexplace (telecommuting) has the potential to impede WLB because it removes the physical boundary between work and personal life (Shockley and Allen, 2007). Furthermore, schedule flexibility has been described as quick wins to implement WLB practices because they have minimal cost implications (Dunne, 2007).

2.2.2 Job Autonomy

Job autonomy represents a key informal WLB practice and refers to the degree of freedom and discretion provided to employees to schedule work and choose the appropriate procedures to use in carrying out the job (Hackman and Oldham, 1976).

2.2.3 Manager Support

Manager support represents another key informal WLB practice and refers to the degree managers support and understand their subordinates with regard to personal and family life issues. Manager's support is expected to considerably improve satisfaction among employees (Abdallah et al., 2016)

2.3 WLB Implementation Challenges

After probing the literature, it becomes evident that WLB practices do not necessarily yield the desired outcomes they were set out to achieve (Beauregard and Henry, 2009). This could be due to a couple of reasons. For example, lack of support by management to continuously implement such practices (Anderson, et al. 2002).

According to Thompson et al. (1999), employees are reluctant to fully take advantage of such practices depending on managerial support they receive. This concern is based on the fear that utilizing such practices will reduce advancement opportunities and may be perceived as a lack of commitment (Beauregard and Henry, 2009). This view, however, may hold true as studies have shown that the use of WLB practices—in fact—is negatively perceived by colleagues as a sign of lack of organizational commitment (Allen and Russell, 1999). Specifically, those who make use of flexible work schedules are least likely to get promoted and most likely to quit their jobs as a result (Cohen and Single, 2001; Houston and Waumsley, 2003).

For example, telework leads to feelings of isolation from colleagues and could impede professional advancement opportunities (Cooper and Kurland, 2002). These unfavorable outcomes could be



attributed to the over-work culture promoting work for long hours in favor of recognition and career development opportunities. This culture where over-working employees rewarded with a "badge of honor" hinders WLB practices (De Cieri *et al.*, 2002; Gershuny, 2005). There's also the culture that encourages working during unsocial hours which adds to the average employee's burden of struggling to fit time for his/her personal life (De Cieri *et al.*, 2002; Vloeberghs, 2002). Therefore, it's of extreme importance to foster a culture supportive of WLB practices; one that does not cheer on employees who work overtime and one that does not penalize employees who do take advantage of WLB practices. One challenge of having such a culture is persuading middle management to set a good example which has been proven in the literature to be a challenge (Spinks, 2004).

2.3.1 Work-Life Conflicts

Work-life conflict refers to the conflict between work and life roles (e.g. family, study, free time, etc) (Greenhaus and Beutell, 1985). It was also described as dares, stresses and trade-offs related to the reconciliation of work and other personal domains such as family (McGinnity and Whelan, 2009). Work-life conflict research is mainly based on conflict theory (e.g. ten Brummelhuis and van der Lippe, 2010) and role scarcity theory (Goode, 1960). Both theories indicate that the usage of one's time and energy in one domain reduces the time and energy left for other domains, thereby reducing performance in that domain (ten Brummelhuis and van der Lippe, 2010; Jin *et al.* 2014).

Three main sources of conflict have been proposed in the literature: strain, time, and behavior based (Greenhaus and Beutell, 1985). Strain-based conflict takes place when the pressures of one domain extends into the other, rising emotional intrusion (Sav *et al.*, 2013). For instance, a fatigued husband may not be able to give sufficient attention to his spouse and family after getting off work (Engle and Dimitriadi, 2007). Time-based conflict takes place when the time used up in one domain cannot be spent in other domains (Greenhaus and Beutell, 1985). For example, excessive time spent for work duties may impede workers from taking care of their young children or unwell parents (Ramasundaram and Ramasundaram, 2011). Behavior-based conflict occurs when there is inconsistency between the behavior presumptions of the work and life roles (Sav *et al.*, 2013). For example, an authoritative and tough manager at work may not be able to adapt into the role of a loving parent and caring spouse at home (Shen *et al.*, 2015).

Negative work conditions such as, job demands, inflexible and long working hours, insufficient use of skills, job ambiguity, job intensity and insecurity, shift work and inappropriateness of working conditions have been widely highlighted in the literature (Michel *et al.*, 2010; Sav *et al.*, 2013). However, working time has been regarded as the vital aspect concerning work-life conflict. Work time includes two sides: the amount of work assigned and the level to control workers have over their job (Huws, 2003).

Greenhaus and Beutell (1985) indicated that work-life conflict emerges from concurrent pressures caused by work and family which are in most cases alternatively incompatible. They further differentiated between two types of such conflicts: "work-to-family conflict" which refers to the intervention of work with the family role, and "family-to-work conflict" which refers to the intervention of family duties with work requirements.

2.3.2 Turnover Intentions

Turnover intentions are defined as an employee's estimated probability that he or she intends to leave the job permanently soon (Kerlinger, 1973).

Turnover of employees is a common challenge faced by all organizations. Direct and indirect costs incurred by organizations to replace leaving employees are relatively high. Dess and shaw (2001) described two types of turnover: voluntary turnover, when an employee leaves the job by his or her willingness; and involuntary turnover, when an employee is fired by the employer.

2.4 Research Limitations

Since this study revolved around WLB and aimed to investigate WLB practices and their effects on WLC and turnover intentions at private hospitals in Jordan, the drawn results and conclusions from this study might not apply to all hospitals in the country (including hospitals under the Ministry of Health (MoH)). It could be argued that because of this, results cannot be generalized especially that hospitals under the umbrella of the MoE differ quite a lot from private hospitals in Jordan in terms of strategies, structure and culture.

Another issue that is ought to be pointed out is the fact that when approached some hospitals agreed to participate with the condition that they administer the survey distribution process. Though a minority of the hospitals imposed this, the researchers were not able to present at those hospitals to personally oversee the survey administration process, explain the purpose of the study and answer



questions respondents might have. In addition, there's the fear of hospitals solely administering the survey process might have jeopardized the authenticity of the respondents' answers as they could have been inclined to rate positively on a particular scale because of the concern confidentiality. Finally, though the sample size of this study was adequate to a certain extent as it was on the minimum threshold recommended by Sekaran and Bougie (2013). However, a bigger sample size could have boosted the reliability and validity of the data to higher levels.

2.5 The Effects of WLB on Work-Life Conflict and Turnover Intentions

It is presumed that having great relations on a family and personal level will lead to greater life satisfaction and contentment, which in turn will lead to higher performance at the workplace (Koubova and Buchko, 2013). In general, employees exhibit positive attitudes towards work when employers commit to maintain a good WLB (Moore, 2007). In fact, countless benefits are gained from employing WLB policies and practices for both employees and employers (Phan *et al.*, 2011; Abdallah and Phan, 2007). These benefits were reported by various research studies that were dedicated to exploring the relationship between WLB and certain workplace attitudes and behaviors. Some took on a specific WLB practice and investigated the relationship with certain attitudes and behaviors. For example, Chow and Keng-Howe's (2006) reported positive relationship between flexible work schedules with self-reported productivity. On another level, Roberts (2007) studied academic literature probing international evidence on the relationship between number of working hours and employees attitudes regarding those hours.

A lack of WLB practices will impede employee motivation causing them to disclose withdrawal symptoms such as absenteeism and turnover (Hughes and Bozionelos, 2005). The more conflict that arises between work and personal life, the more employees will struggle maintaining a balance and, therefore, eventually quit their jobs (Houston and Waumsley, 2003). This also holds true for staff without care-giving duties (Waumsley, 2010). Regardless, the consequences could be costly to organizations who neglect such practices (Hughes and Bozionelos, 2005).

On a more positive note and on the contrary, work-life friendly arrangements are rewarded with direct financial benefits having lower turnover ratios, better recruitment options though employing highly skilled employees who cherish flexibility. The significant relationship between WLB practices and turnover has been explored in early WLB research studies. Perhaps one of these early studies is Dalton and Mesch's (1990) who showed that flexible scheduling minimizes absenteeism. Moreover, more recent studies have also been dedicated to investigate the relationship between WLB practices and turnover intentions (Wilkinson, 2008; Koubova and Buchko, 2013).

The reason for the negative relationship between WLB practices and both turnover and absenteeism could be due to the fact that WLB practices offer alternative work arrangements replacing the traditional fixed- hours and fixed-place arrangements granting current and prospective employees greater flexibility (Thomas and Ganster, 1995).

Certain WLB practices have also been found to reduce absenteeism and turnover. Halpern's (2005) study confirmed what former studies had previously reported; that the use of flexible work hours resulted in lower absenteeism.

Not only is it necessary to have WLB practices within company policy, it's also crucial for employees to see the management's commitment to these practices through consistent and continuous implementation and improvement. This was proven a strong determinant of turnover ratio as it reflects the sincerity of the employer (Lingard and Francis, 2005).

This is where this research comes to play contributing to filling the gap of lack of research on WLB in developing countries by investigating WLB in a highly demanding profession context (i.e. healthcare) in Jordan in order to raise awareness about this critical concept and its potential benefits for organizations, employees and society as a whole.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Framework and Research Hypothesis

Based on the discussion above, the research model was developed and is presented in Figure 1 below.




Figure 1. Research model

Based on the developed research model, the WLB practices were segmented into schedule flexibility, manager support and job autonomy and 10 hypotheses were thus derived between each independent variable (WLB practice) and the mediating variable (work-life conflict) [H1, H2 and H3], between each independent variable (WLB practice) and the dependent variable (turnover intentions) [H4, H5 and H6] and finally between the mediating variable (work-life conflict) and the dependent variable (turnover intentions) [H7]. The last three hypotheses were developed to test the mediation effect of work-life conflict between each independent variable (WLB practice) and the dependent variable (turnover intentions) [H8, H9 and H10] as follows:

H1: There is a significant effect of schedule flexibility on work life conflict.

H2: There is a significant effect of manager support on work-life conflict.

H3: There is a significant effect of job autonomy on work-life conflict.

H4: There is a significant effect of schedule flexibility on turnover intentions.

H5: There is a significant effect of manager support on turnover intentions.

H6: There is a significant effect of job autonomy on turnover intentions.

H7: There is a significant effect of work-life conflict on turnover intentions.

H8: Work-life conflict significantly mediates the relationship between schedule flexibility and turnover intentions.

H9: Work-life conflict significantly mediates the relationship between manager support and turnover intentions.

H10: Work-life conflict significantly mediates the relationship between job autonomy and turnover intentions.

3.2 Population and Sample

The targeted population in this research is medical-staff working in private hospitals in Jordan. Medical-staff include all personnel who professionally provide care to patients (such as physicians, nurses, pharmacists, lab technicians, midwives, etc.). Numbers of medical staff in private hospitals amount to 35,689 (Jordanian Ministry of Health, 2014) which constitutes the population of this research.

According to Sekaran and Bougie (2013), the appropriate sample size for a population of 35,689 is 380; therefore, the authors decided to distribute 450 questionnaires. Of the 450 distributed questionnaires, the returned questionnaires were 382. The sample was extracted from the population based on simple random sampling and questionnaires were distributed to medical-staff currently working in private hospitals in Jordan which, according to the Jordanian Ministry of Health, are 61 private hospitals to date. It's worthy of mentioning that of the 382 returned questionnaires, 19 of them were incomplete and were, therefore, discarded with the remaining 363 entering calculations. This yields a final response rate of approximately 80.6 percent. This response rate is relatively high and might be explained by the personal visits by the authors to the hospitals, which usually increase the response rate. Previous empirical studies in Jordan showed less response rate. For example, Abdallah (2013) showed a response rate of 59.5%, Abdallah *et al.* (2014) showed a response rate of 57.7%, Obeidat *et al.* (2014) got 52% and Suifan *et al.* (2015) got a response rate of 64.3%

Non-response bias was evaluated using t-test by comparing early and late responses (Armstrong and Overton, 1977). The results revealed no significant differences between the groups implying the



absence of non-response bias effect in this research.

Common method variance (CMV) was assessed by applying Harman's single factor test (Harman, 1976). This test requires the simultaneous entry of all question items into a factor analysis using principal components and no rotation method. The results revealed five factors with eigenvalues greater than 1.0. The five factors accounted for 65.70% of the variance and the first factor (the largest) accounted for 30.07% indicating that CMV is not a problem in our study.

Table 1. Measurement items

Item number	Item descriptions (References)
Schedule Flexibility (Hyland, 2000)	
1-1	I have the freedom to vary my work schedule (or the shift I work on).
1-2	I can change the start and finish time (or the shift)—if needed.
1-3	I can easily switch shifts with my colleagues.
1-4	I have a say when it comes to my work schedule (deleted).
Manager Support (Anderson <i>et al.</i>, 2002)	
2-1	My manager is supportive when I have a work problem.
2-2	My manager is fair and doesn't show favoritism in responding to employees' personal or family needs (deleted).
2-3	My manager accommodates me when I have family or personal business to take care of (deleted).
2-4	My manager is understanding when I talk about personal or family issues that affect my work.
2-5	I feel comfortable bringing up personal or family issues with my manager.
2-6	My manager really cares about the effects that work demands have on my personal and family life.
Job Autonomy (Thompson and Prottas, 2005)	
3-1	I have the freedom to decide what I do on my job.
3-2	I have a lot of say about what happens on my job.
3-3	I decide when I take breaks (deleted).
3-4	It is basically my own responsibility to decide how my job gets done.
Work-Life Conflicts (Waumsley, 2010)	
4-1	The demands of my work interfere with my life away from work.
4-2	The amount of time my job takes up makes it difficult to fulfill other interests.
4-3	My job produces strain that makes it difficult to fulfill other responsibilities and duties.
4-4	Due to work, I have to make changes to my plans for activities away from work.
Turnover Intentions (Ganesan and Weitz's, 1996; Mobley <i>et al.</i>, 1978)	
5-1	As soon as it is possible, I will leave the hospital I work at (deleted).
5-2	I think a lot about leaving the the hospital I work at.
5-3	I am actively searching for alternatives to the hospital I work at.
5-4	If I do not get promoted soon, I will look for a job elsewhere (deleted).
5-5	I intend to leave this hospital within a short period of time.
5-6	I do not think I will spend my entire career with this hospital.

Discriminant validity was evaluated by ensuring that the square root of each AVE value is greater than the absolute correlation value between that scale and other scales. All the measurement scales met this criterion indicating strong support of discriminant validity (Fornell and Larcker, 1981) as shown in Table 3. Additionally, The AVE value for each measurement scale exceeded maximum shared squared variance (MSV) and average shared squared variance (ASV) values providing further support of discriminant validity (Hair *et al.*, 2010).

Table 2. AVE, MSV, ASV and correlation matrix

1	SF	0.646	0.421	0.326	0.803	-	-	-	-
2	JA	0.584	0.502	0.343	0.594**	0.566**	0.764	-	-
3	WLC	0.568	0.155	0.100	0.216**	0.170**	0.232**	0.754	-
4	TI	0.653	0.192	0.151	-0.319**	-0.401**	-0.273**	0.344**	-

Notes: **p < 0.001; Square root of AVE is on the diagonal

4. RESULTS



The research hypothesis were tested using structural equation modeling (SEM) with maximum likelihood estimation using Amos 20. SEM allows testing the direct and indirect effects simultaneously. The results showed that the effect of job autonomy on work-life conflict was negative and significant ($\beta = -0.364$, $p = 0.010$). The effects of schedule flexibility and manager support on work-life conflict were not significant ($\beta = 0.071$, $p > 0.05$ for SF; $\beta = -0.012$, $p > 0.05$ for MS). Therefore, hypotheses H1 and H2 were rejected and hypothesis H3 was supported.

The mediation effects were tested using the bootstrapping method (Shrout and Bolger, 2002). This method is more accurate than the method proposed by Baron and Kenny (1986) (MacKinnon, 2008; Mallinckrodt et al., 2006). The main advantages of bootstrapping re-sampling approach are its appropriateness for large and small samples alike and the un-necessity of normal distribution assumption for the indirect effect (Hayes, 2009). We selected 5,000 bootstrap samples with 95% bias-corrected confidence intervals (Hayes, 2013). To accept or reject the hypothesis regarding the indirect effect, lower and upper bounds of confidence intervals should be checked. If these two bounds include zero, then the alternate hypothesis is rejected because this means that the indirect effect is zero with 95% confidence level. On the other hand, if the two bounds do not include zero, then the alternate hypothesis is accepted.

The results showed that work-life conflict negatively and significantly mediated the relationship between job autonomy and turnover intentions (confidence intervals are between -0.281 and -0.025, $p < 0.05$). The mediating effect of work life conflict on schedule flexibility-turnover intentions was not significant (confidence intervals are between -0.055 and 0.133, $p > 0.05$). Similarly, the mediating effect of work-life conflict on manager support-turnover intentions was not significant (confidence intervals are between -0.073 and 0.076, $p > 0.05$). Therefore, hypothesis H10 was supported while hypotheses H8 and H9 were rejected.

Figure 2 illustrates direct effects and Table 4 provides summary of the tested hypotheses.




Figure 2. Coefficients for the hypothesized direct effects Table 4.

H	Path	Direct effect	Indirect effect	Bias corrected bootstrap 95% confidence interval		Result
				Lower	Upper	
H ₁	SF → WLC	0.071				Rejected
H ₂	MS → WLC	-0.012				Rejected
H ₃	JA → WLC	-0.364*				Supported
H ₄	SF → TI	-0.182				Rejected
H ₅	MS → TI	-0.391**				Supported
H ₆	JA → TI	0.188				Rejected
H ₇	WLC → TI	0.329**				Supported
H ₈	SF → WLC → TI		0.023	-0.055	0.133	Rejected
H ₉	MS → WLC → TI		-0.004	-0.073	0.076	Rejected
H ₁₀	JA → WLC → TI		-0.120*	-0.281	-0.025	Supported

5. SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Discussion, Conclusions, Limitations and Future Research Directions

5.1 Discussion



Several conclusions can be drawn from the abovementioned results. Perhaps one of the most important is regarding the negative and significant relationship between manager support and turnover intentions. This points to the sheer importance medical-staff weigh on management's support. These results come as no surprise since the high stresses that accompany such work understandably requires support from management. Apparently, this could be a deal breaker for medical-staff. And if gone uncared for, could result in them quitting their jobs.

On the other hand, results disclosed that neither job autonomy nor schedule flexibility affect turnover intentions in a direct manner. This, however, does not agree with Halpern (2005) who concluded that the use of flexible work hours result in lower absenteeism. This proves that hospital management (not least in the private sector) needn't invest in installing schedule flexibility options for its medical staff as much as they should invest in providing as much support and care from the top-down.

Furthermore, results in this study revealed that there is a significant relationship between work-life conflict and turnover intentions; the more work-life conflict medical staff struggle with, the more they think about quitting their jobs. This linkage was important to take into consideration in order to investigate significant antecedents that affect work-life conflict. From the results, it is revealed that job autonomy was the only WLB practice that had a negative and significant effect on work-life conflict. This suggests that in order for the management to alleviate work-life conflict and in turn to reduce turnover, they must employ autonomy in the workplace.

Both informal WLB having an effect on turnover intentions; manager support having a direct effect on turnover intentions and job autonomy having an indirect effect through work-life conflict, while the formal WLB practice (schedule flexibility) had no effect at all (direct nor indirect) on turnover intentions suggests that informal WLB practices are superior to formal ones. This inference coincides with Anderson et al.'s (2002) and Ahmad and Omar's (2012) studies who argued in favor of informal WLB practices. In fact, it could be seen that these informal practices encompass the hospital's positive culture that is conducive to support WLB practices (Brummelhuis and van der Lippe, 2010).

Moreover, this study is also in alignment with other former studies (Frye and Breagh, 2004) proving that WLB practices do indeed have a significant effect on work-life conflict. Thus, providing WLB practices does in fact alleviate the conflicts that medical-staff endure rising between their work and personal life.

Perhaps one of the suggestions for future studies is to test out the research model adding more WLB practices and differentiating them into formal and informal in order to draw more concrete conclusions regarding the comparison between the two sets.

5.2 Conclusions

This study was successful in drawing conclusions that were, to an extent, in agreement with previous studies. First, it proved that some WLB practices were more effective than others in affecting turnover intentions of medical-staff. In particular, manager support had a direct effect on reducing turnover intentions, job autonomy, on the other hand, had an indirect effect while schedule flexibility had no effect at all. This suggests that informal WLB practices are vital to reduce turnover intentions and are more influential than formal WLB practices.

Second, the mediation role of work-life conflict between job autonomy and turnover intentions had been confirmed which is in agreement with Waumsley (2010).

Third, the study provided evidence of a significant relationship between work-life conflict and turnover intentions.

5.3 Future Research Directions

Capitalizing on the strengths of the study and addressing the inadequacies and limitation that were previously discussed, many recommendations emerge to be employed in future studies.

Because most studies related to this topic have been conducted in western countries (Vloeberghs, 2002), this study aimed to apply the WLB concept in a non-western (developing) context. However, more studies need to be dedicated to this topic in order to draw a better picture of WLB in developing countries. Furthermore, the study could be expanded to include more WLB practices (either formal WLB practices, informal WLB practices or both). Similarly, the study could be extended to incorporate more dependent variables such as job satisfaction, productivity and performance, etc. As a result, many configurations could be adapted.

In addition, this study was applied to a broad segment of medical-staff working in private hospitals in Jordan from different genders and of various age and years of experience. Therefore, future studies could be applied to study WLB for a specific segment (e.g. female medical staff).



6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my gratitude to my esteemed Supervisor [Dr. Esha Vatsa] for all the support, instructions, and encouragement I received throughout this difficult project.

I am appreciative of the pioneering work of [Jigyasa university], whose study on a similar subject was an inspiration that paved the way for this project.

Finally, I would like to express gratitude to my friends, mentors and colleagues whose encouragement kept me focused and helped me overcome numerous challenges during my research journey.

7. REFERENCES

1. Abdallah, A.B., Anh, P.C. and Matsui, Y. (2016) Investigating the effects of managerial and technological innovations on operational performance and customer satisfaction of manufacturing companies. *International Journal of Business Innovation and Research*, 10(2/3), 153-183.
2. Abdallah, A. B. (2013). The Influence of "Soft" and "Hard" Total Quality Management (TQM) Practices on Total Productive Maintenance (TPM) in Jordanian Manufacturing Companies. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 8(21), 1-13.
3. Abdallah, A. B., Obeidat, B. Y., & Aqqad, N. O. (2014). The Impact of Supply Chain Management Practices on Supply Chain Performance in Jordan: The Moderating Effect of Competitive Intensity. *International Business Research*, 7(3), 13-27.
4. Abdallah, A. and Phan, C. A. (2007). The relationship between just-in-time production and human resource management, and their impact on competitive performance. *Yokohama Business Review*, 28(2), 27-57.
5. Abdalrahim, A. (2013). Stress and Coping Among Psychiatric Nurses. *Middle East Journal of Nursing*, 7(4), 1-8.
6. Abendroth, A. and den Dulk, L. (2011). Support for the work-life balance in Europe: the impact of state, workplace and family support on work-life balance satisfaction. *Work, Employment and Society*, 25(2), 234-256.
7. Adams, G. and Jex, S. (1999). Relationships Between Time Management, Control, Work-Family Conflict, and Strain. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 4(1), 72-77.
8. Agarwal, S. and Lenka, U. (2015). Study on work-life balance of women entrepreneurs – review and research agenda. *Industrial and Commercial Training*, 47(7), 356-362.
9. Ahmad, A. and Omar, Z. (2012). Effects of Informal Work-Family Support on Job Performance: Mediating Roles of Work-Family Conflict and Job Satisfaction. *The Journal of International Management Studies*, 7(2), 202-206.
10. Allen, T. and Russell, J. (1999). Parental leave of absence: Some not so family friendly implications. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 29(1), 166-191.
11. Anderson, J.C. and Gerbing, D.W. (1988). Structural equation modeling in practice: a review and recommended two-step approach. *Psychological Bulletin*, 103(2), 411-423.
12. Anderson, S., Coffey, B. and Byerly, R. (2002). Formal Organizational Initiatives and Informal Workplace Practices: Links to Work-Family Conflict and Job-Related Outcomes. *Journal of Management*, 28(6), 787-810.
13. Armstrong, J.S., and Overton, T.S. (1977). Estimating Nonresponse Bias in Mail Surveys. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 14, 396-402.
14. Baron, R. and Kenny, D. (1986). The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51(6), 1173-1182.
15. Beauregard, T.A. and Henry, L.C. (2009). Making the link between work-life balance practices and organizational performance. *Human resource management review*, 19, 9-22.
16. Behson, S. (2005). The relative contribution of formal and informal organizational work-family support. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 66, 487-500.
17. Bollen, K.A. (1989). *Structural Equations with Latent Variables*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
18. Boswell, W and Olson-Buchanan, J. (2007). The Use of Communication Technologies After Hours: The Role of Work Attitudes and Work-Life Conflict. *Journal of Management*, 33(4), 592-610.
19. Brummelhuis, L. and van der Lippe, T. (2010). Effective work-life balance support for various household structures", *Human Resource Management*. 49(2), 173-193.
20. Carlson, D., Grzywacz, J. and Kacmar, K. (2010). The relationship of schedule flexibility and outcomes via the work-family interface. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 25(4), 330-355.



21. Check C. (1996). Technology will strengthen the traditional family. Greenhaven Press, San Diego, CA.
22. Chow, I. and Keng-Howe, I. (2006). The effect of alternative work schedules on employee performance. *International Journal of Employment Studies*, 14(1), 105-130.
23. Cohen, J. and Single, L. (2001). An examination of the perceived impact of flexible work arrangements on professional opportunities in public accounting. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 32, 317-328.
24. Cooper, C. and Kurland, N. (2002). Telecommuting, professional isolation, and employee development in public and private organizations. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 23, 511-532.
25. Dalton, D. and Mesch, D. (1990). The impact of flexible scheduling on employee attendance and turnover. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 35, 370-387.
27. De Cieri, H., Holmes, B., Abbott, J. and Pettit, T. (2002). Work-life balance strategies: progress and problems in Australian organizations. Unpublished Dissertation, Monash University, Victoria.
28. Dess, G.G. and Shaw, J.D. (2001). Voluntary turnover, social capital and Organizational performance. *Academic Management Review*, 26: 446-456.
30. Dunne, H. (2007). Putting balance into business: Work/life balance as a business strategy for avoiding brain drain. *Strategic HR Review*, 6(6), 28-31.
31. Engle, R. L. and Dimitriadis, N. A. (2007). Antecedents and impacts of work-life role strain: model development and cross-cultural comparison. *Journal of Management Science*, 1(2), 87-97.
32. Fornell, C. and Larcker, D.F. (1981). Structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(1), 39-50.
33. Frame, P. and Hartog, M. (2003). From rhetoric to reality. Into the swamp of ethical practice: implementing work-life balance. *Business Ethics: A European Review*, 12(4), 358-67.
34. Frye, N. and Breugh, J. (2004). Family-friendly policies, supervisor support, work-family conflict, and satisfaction: A test of a conceptual model. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 19(2), 197-220.
35. Ganesan, S. and Weitz, B. (1996). The Impact of Staffing Policies on Retail Buyer Job Attitudes and Behaviors. *Journal of Retailing*, 72(1), 31-56.
36. Garver, M.S. and Mentzer, J.T. (1999). Logistics research methods: employing structural equation modelling to test for construct validity. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 20(1), 33-57.
37. Graves, L., Ohlott, P. and Ruderman, M. (2007). Commitment to family roles: effects on managers' attitudes and performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 92(1), 44-56.
38. Gershuny, J. (2005). Busyness as the Badge of Honour of the New Super ordinate Working Class. working paper, University of Essex, Colchester: Institute for Social and Economic Research.
39. Goode, W.J. (1960). A theory of role strain. *American Sociological Review*, 25(4), 483-496.
40. Greenhaus, J.H., and Beutell, N.J. (1985). Sources of conflict between work and family roles. *Academy of Management Review*, 10, 76-88.
41. Hackman, J. and Oldham, G. (1976). Motivation through the design of work: Test of a theory. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 16, 250-279.
42. Hair, J., Black, W., Babin, B. and Anderson, R. (2010). *Multivariate Data Analysis*. Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA: Prentice Hall, Inc.
43. Halpern, D. (2005). How time-flexible work policies can reduce stress, improve health, and save money. *Stress and Health*, 21(3), 157-168.
44. Hammer, B., Neal, M., Newsom, J., Brockwood, K. and Colton, C. (2005). A longitudinal study of the effects of dual-earner couples' utilization of family-friendly workplace supports on work and family outcomes. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 90, 799-810.
45. Harman, H.H. (1976). *Modern Factor Analysis*. Chicago, IL, USA: University of Chicago Press.
46. Hayes, A. F. (2013). *Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis*. New York, NY, USA: The Guilford Press.
47. Hayes, A. (2009). Beyond Baron and Kenny: Statistical mediation analysis in the new millennium. *Communication Monographs*, 76(4), 408-420.
48. Helmle, J., Botero, I. and Seibold D. (2014). Factors that influence perceptions of work-life balance in owners of entrepreneurial firms. *Journal of Family Business Management*, 4(2), 110-132.
49. Hill, E.J., Grzywacz, J.G., Allen, S., Blanchard, V.L., Matz-Costa, C., Shulkin, S. and Pitt-Catsoughes, M. (2008). Defining and conceptualizing workplace flexibility. *Community, Work, and Family*, 11, 149-63.
50. Houston, D. and Waumsley, J. (2003). *Attitudes to flexible working and family life*. New York,



NY, USA: JRF Policy Press.

51. Hu, L. and Bentler, P.M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indices in covariance structure analysis: conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 6(1), 1-55.
52. Hughes, J. and Bozionelos, N. (2005). Work-life balance as source of job dissatisfaction and withdrawal attitudes. *Personnel Review*, 36(1), 145 – 154.
53. Huws, U. (2003). *The Making of a Cybertariat: Virtual Work in a Real World*. Monthly Review Press, New York, NY.
54. Hyland, M. M. (2000). Flexibility in work arrangements: How availability, preferences and use affect business outcomes. Annual Meeting of the Academy of Management, Toronto, ON.