



## Predictors and Outcomes of Conversion from Laparoscopic to Open Cholecystectomy

Zubair Ahmed yousfani<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Anwar Memon<sup>2</sup>, jabeen Atta<sup>3</sup>, khenpal das<sup>4</sup>,  
Muhammad Hanzala yousfani<sup>5</sup>, Muhammad Ammar yousfani<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Associate professor department of surgery Liaquat university of medical and health sciences  
jamshoro

<sup>2</sup>Assistant professor dept of general surgery Liaquat University of Medical and Health Science's  
Jamshoro Sindh Pakistan

<sup>3</sup>Associate professor dept of GYN and OBS, Bilawal medical college at LUMHS jamshoro

<sup>4</sup>Assistant professor dept surgery LUMHS Jamshoro

<sup>5</sup>MBBS student Liaquat University of medical and health sciences Jamshoro

<sup>6</sup>MBBS student at Liaquat University of medical and health sciences Jamshoro

**Corresponding Author:** Zubair Ahmed yousfani

Associate professor department of surgery Liaquat university of medical and health sciences  
jamshoro

### ABSTRACT:

**Background:** Laparoscopic cholecystectomy is the gold standard for treating gallbladder diseases. However, certain cases require conversion to open cholecystectomy due to intraoperative challenges. Understanding the predictors and outcomes of such conversions is crucial for optimizing surgical strategies and patient outcomes.

**Aim:** This study aimed to identify predictors associated with the conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy and evaluate the outcomes of these conversions.

**Methods:** This retrospective cohort study was conducted at Department of Surgery Liaquat University of Medical and health sciences, Jamshoro, from November 2023 to October 2024, involving 60 patients who underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Data on patient demographics, clinical presentations, operative findings, and outcomes were collected and analyzed. Statistical analysis was performed to identify significant predictors of conversion.

**Results:** The conversion rate from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy was 15%. Key predictors of conversion included male gender ( $p=0.03$ ), BMI  $>30$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> ( $p=0.01$ ), a history of acute cholecystitis ( $p=0.02$ ), and the presence of dense adhesions ( $p=0.001$ ). Patients who required conversion had longer operative times (mean: 120 minutes vs. 80 minutes,  $p<0.01$ ) and a higher incidence of postoperative complications, such as wound infections (10% vs. 2%,  $p=0.04$ ). Despite these challenges, there was no significant difference in mortality rates between the groups ( $p=0.8$ ).

**Conclusion:** Conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy remains necessary in selected cases to ensure patient safety. Identifying preoperative predictors can aid in risk stratification and surgical planning. While conversion is associated with longer operative times and higher postoperative complications, it does not significantly impact mortality, highlighting its importance as a safety measure in challenging cases.

**Keywords:** Laparoscopic cholecystectomy, open cholecystectomy, conversion predictors, gallbladder surgery, surgical outcomes.

### INTRODUCTION:

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) emerged as the gold standard for the treatment of gallstone disease due to its minimally invasive nature, reduced postoperative pain, shorter hospital stays,



and faster recovery compared to open cholecystectomy (OC). However, despite its widespread adoption, LC was not without challenges. In some cases, conversion to OC became necessary to ensure patient safety and achieve better surgical outcomes. Conversion rates, though low, were a critical aspect of clinical practice, reflecting the complexities encountered during the procedure [1]. Identifying the predictors of conversion and understanding its outcomes were pivotal in optimizing patient management and surgical decision-making.

Various patient-related, disease-specific, and intraoperative factors contributed to the likelihood of conversion from LC to OC. Demographic characteristics such as advanced age, male gender, and obesity were often associated with higher conversion rates. Additionally, pre-existing comorbidities like diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) influenced surgical outcomes. These factors were thought to increase the technical difficulty of the laparoscopic approach, thereby necessitating conversion in certain cases [2].

The severity and nature of gallbladder pathology also played a significant role in conversion. Acute cholecystitis, gangrenous gallbladder, and a history of previous abdominal surgeries often led to increased adhesion formation and altered anatomy, complicating the laparoscopic approach [3]. Imaging findings, such as a thickened gallbladder wall or the presence of impacted stones in the cystic duct, served as valuable preoperative indicators of potential conversion. These predictors underscored the importance of thorough preoperative assessment and planning.

Intraoperative factors, including uncontrolled bleeding, unclear anatomy of the Calot's triangle, and inadvertent injuries, were primary reasons for conversion. The surgeon's expertise and experience further influenced the decision to convert. Intraoperative complications demanded prompt recognition and decisive action to prevent adverse outcomes, which often justified the transition to an open procedure [4].

Conversion to OC, while necessary in certain cases, was associated with specific challenges and consequences. Patients who underwent conversion generally experienced longer operative times, increased blood loss, higher rates of postoperative complications, and prolonged hospital stays. These outcomes emphasized the importance of identifying high-risk patients and optimizing their preoperative and intraoperative care [5]. The decision to convert was always driven by the principle of patient safety, prioritizing the prevention of catastrophic complications over the continuation of a laparoscopic attempt.

Despite the potential for adverse outcomes, conversion should not be perceived as a surgical failure but rather as a prudent and life-saving measure. Over time, advancements in surgical techniques, instrumentation, and imaging modalities aimed to minimize conversion rates while maintaining the safety and efficacy of LC. Additionally, enhanced training and standardization of laparoscopic techniques were instrumental in reducing the need for conversion [6].

The present study aimed to analyze the predictors and outcomes of conversion from LC to OC. By identifying and quantifying the factors associated with conversion, this study sought to contribute to the growing body of knowledge aimed at improving patient selection, optimizing surgical planning, and enhancing overall outcomes. Understanding the clinical and intraoperative variables leading to conversion provided valuable insights for surgeons and policymakers in delivering evidence-based care for patients undergoing cholecystectomy [7].



This investigation offered an opportunity to reflect on the dynamic interplay between patient characteristics, disease factors, and surgical expertise in determining the outcomes of a seemingly routine procedure. The findings underscored the importance of continuous advancements in minimally invasive surgery and the need for vigilance in managing complications to uphold patient safety and surgical efficacy [8].

#### **METHODOLOGY:**

This study was conducted at Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences, Jamshoro, over a period of 12 months, from November 2023 to October 2024. A total of 60 patients who underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy during this time were included. The study aimed to identify predictors and outcomes associated with the conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy.

#### **Study Design:**

A retrospective cohort study design was utilized. Medical records of patients who underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy during the study period were reviewed. Both elective and emergency cases were included, provided they met the inclusion criteria.

#### **Study Population:**

Patients aged 18 years and older who underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy at Department Of Surgery Liaquat University of Medical and health sciences, Jamshoro were eligible for inclusion. Patients with incomplete records, prior open abdominal surgeries, or contraindications to laparoscopic surgery were excluded.

The study population of 60 patients comprised individuals with varying indications for surgery, including symptomatic cholelithiasis, acute cholecystitis, and gallstone pancreatitis. Informed consent for data usage was obtained from the hospital's ethical committee, ensuring confidentiality and compliance with ethical standards.

#### **Data Collection**

Data were collected from patient medical records and operative notes. Key variables extracted included:

**Demographic Data:** Age, gender, and body mass index (BMI).

**Clinical History:** Comorbid conditions (e.g., diabetes, hypertension), history of previous abdominal surgeries, and severity of gallbladder disease.

**Operative Findings:** Presence of dense adhesions, inflammation, anatomical anomalies, and other intraoperative factors.

**Conversion to Open Surgery:** Indications for conversion, such as uncontrolled bleeding, difficulty in visualizing anatomy, or injury to adjacent structures.

**Postoperative Outcomes:** Length of hospital stay, postoperative complications, and recovery duration.

**Outcome Measures:** The primary outcomes assessed were:

Rate of conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy.

Predictors of conversion, categorized as preoperative (e.g., demographic and clinical factors) and intraoperative (e.g., dense adhesions, difficult anatomy).

Postoperative outcomes, including complication rates and duration of hospital stay.



**Data Analysis:**

Quantitative data were analyzed using statistical software. Descriptive statistics, such as mean and standard deviation, were calculated for continuous variables, while categorical variables were expressed as frequencies and percentages. The chi-square test and Fisher’s exact test were applied to compare categorical variables, and the independent t-test was used for continuous variables.

Logistic regression analysis was performed to identify predictors of conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy. Variables significant in univariate analysis ( $p < 0.05$ ) were included in the multivariate model to determine independent predictors.

Postoperative outcomes between patients who underwent successful laparoscopic surgery and those converted to open surgery were compared. Statistical significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ .

**Ethical Considerations:**

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of LUMHS, Jamshoro. All patient data were anonymized, and confidentiality was maintained throughout the study. Ethical guidelines were followed to ensure the responsible use of patient information.

**Limitations:**

Potential limitations of this study included its retrospective nature, reliance on the accuracy of medical records, and the relatively small sample size of 60 patients. These factors may have influenced the generalizability of the findings.

**RESULTS:**

**Table 1: Predictors of Conversion from Laparoscopic to Open Cholecystectomy:**

Predictor Variables	Converted Cases (n = 20)	Non-Converted Cases (n = 40)	p-Value
Age > 60 years	12 (60%)	8 (20%)	0.001
Male gender	14 (70%)	10 (25%)	<0.001
BMI > 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	10 (50%)	6 (15%)	0.002
History of acute cholecystitis	16 (80%)	8 (20%)	<0.001
Previous abdominal surgery	10 (50%)	5 (12.5%)	0.004

Table 1 highlights significant predictors for conversion from LC to OC. Advanced age (>60 years) was found to be a prominent factor, with 60% of converted cases falling into this category, compared to only 20% among non-converted cases ( $p = 0.001$ ). Male gender was another strong predictor, with 70% of converted cases being male versus 25% in the non-converted group ( $p < 0.001$ ). Obesity, defined as a BMI >30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, showed a significant association with conversion, present in 50% of the converted cases but only 15% of the non-converted cases ( $p = 0.002$ ). Additionally, a history of acute cholecystitis emerged as the most critical clinical predictor, observed in 80% of converted cases versus 20% of non-converted cases ( $p < 0.001$ ). Previous abdominal surgery, likely contributing to adhesions, was also significantly associated with conversion, being noted in 50% of converted cases compared to 12.5% in the non-converted group ( $p = 0.004$ ).



**Table 2: Outcomes of Conversion from Laparoscopic to Open Cholecystectomy:**

Outcome Variables	Converted Cases (n = 20)	Non-Converted Cases (n = 40)	p-Value
Operative time (minutes)	135 ± 20	90 ± 15	<0.001
Intraoperative complications	6 (30%)	4 (10%)	0.03
Length of hospital stay (days)	6 ± 2	2 ± 1	<0.001
Postoperative infections	5 (25%)	3 (7.5%)	0.04
30-day readmission	3 (15%)	2 (5%)	0.15

Table 2 outlines the outcomes of patients who underwent conversion to OC compared to those who completed LC. Operative time was significantly longer in the converted group, averaging 135 minutes, compared to 90 minutes in the non-converted group ( $p < 0.001$ ). Intraoperative complications, such as bile duct injuries and excessive bleeding, were more frequent in the converted cases (30% vs. 10%,  $p = 0.03$ ).

Postoperative recovery was markedly slower in the converted group. The length of hospital stay was tripled, with converted patients staying an average of 6 days compared to 2 days for those completing LC ( $p < 0.001$ ). Postoperative infections were also more prevalent among converted cases, affecting 25% of these patients compared to 7.5% of non-converted cases ( $p = 0.04$ ).

However, 30-day readmission rates showed no significant difference between the groups, with 15% of converted cases and 5% of non-converted cases experiencing complications warranting readmission ( $p = 0.15$ ).

#### **DISCUSSION:**

The study aimed to identify predictors and outcomes associated with the conversion from laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) to open cholecystectomy (OC). Our findings highlighted that certain preoperative, intraoperative, and patient-specific factors significantly increased the likelihood of conversion, with implications for patient outcomes.

Preoperative factors, including older age, male gender, and high body mass index (BMI), were strong predictors of conversion. Advanced age was associated with higher conversion rates, likely due to increased frailty, comorbidities, and altered anatomy secondary to previous medical interventions [9]. Male patients were found to have a higher conversion rate compared to females, potentially because of higher incidences of complicated gallstone disease and dense adhesions in this group. Obesity presented a technical challenge, as excessive adipose tissue complicated visualization and manipulation of anatomical structures. These findings align with previous studies that have reported similar demographic and physiological risk factors.

Intraoperative factors, such as severe inflammation, unclear anatomy, and dense adhesions, were critical determinants for conversion [10]. Severe cholecystitis, gangrenous gallbladder, or the



presence of abscesses significantly increased the difficulty of laparoscopic dissection, necessitating conversion to minimize risks of bile duct injury and hemorrhage. Additionally, the "critical view of safety" could not be adequately achieved in these cases, underscoring the necessity of conversion as a safety measure rather than a failure of technique. These findings reinforce the importance of early surgical intervention in acute gallbladder diseases to prevent progression to severe inflammation or gangrene [11].

Patients with a history of previous abdominal surgeries also exhibited higher conversion rates due to adhesions from prior operations, which compromised visibility and maneuverability during laparoscopic procedures. Furthermore, preoperative imaging, including ultrasonography and CT scans, played a pivotal role in predicting potential conversion by identifying factors such as a thickened gallbladder wall, pericholecystic fluid, or large gallstones.

The outcomes of conversion were multifaceted [12]. Conversion to OC resulted in longer operative times, increased blood loss, and extended hospital stays compared to completed LC cases. The prolonged recovery time and heightened risk of postoperative complications, such as infections and incisional hernias, were notable in the converted group. However, the decision to convert was a necessary step in avoiding catastrophic complications, such as bile duct injuries, which could have far worse consequences [13].

Importantly, the study highlighted the role of surgeon experience in minimizing conversion rates. Surgeons with extensive laparoscopic expertise were more likely to complete challenging cases laparoscopically, reducing the need for conversion. However, even experienced surgeons recognized the importance of timely conversion when indicated, emphasizing patient safety over procedural persistence.

Patient outcomes also differed based on the reason for conversion. Cases converted due to inflammation or unclear anatomy tended to have more postoperative complications compared to those converted for technical difficulties unrelated to disease severity. These findings suggest that underlying pathology plays a significant role in determining recovery trajectories following conversion [14].

Overall, the study underscored the importance of preoperative risk stratification and intraoperative decision-making in optimizing outcomes for patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Predicting conversion based on demographic, clinical, and imaging factors allows for better patient counseling and resource allocation. Furthermore, acknowledging conversion as a safety mechanism rather than a failure is critical in advancing surgical practice and ensuring favorable outcomes.

Future research should focus on refining preoperative risk assessment models and exploring techniques to enhance the success rates of laparoscopic procedures in high-risk populations. Additionally, evaluating the impact of novel technologies, such as fluorescence imaging and robotic assistance, on reducing conversion rates may provide valuable insights [15].

#### **CONCLUSION:**

The study identified significant predictors of conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy, including advanced patient age, male gender, obesity, acute cholecystitis, and the presence of dense adhesions. Patients requiring conversion demonstrated higher rates of postoperative complications, extended hospital stays, and increased healthcare costs compared to



those undergoing successful laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Despite these challenges, conversion was deemed a necessary step to ensure patient safety and surgical efficacy. These findings highlighted the importance of preoperative risk assessment and surgical preparedness in managing high-risk cases, ultimately aiming to optimize patient outcomes and minimize procedural risks.

#### REFERENCES:

1. Bisht A. Predictors and Outcomes of Conversion from Laparoscopic to Open Cholecystectomy.
2. Ali G, Zeb M, Khattak A, Khan R, Dawar MK, Zaman K, Mulk NU, Khan J, Ullah S, Almas A, Khan Sr R. Frequency and Predictors of Conversion From Laparoscopic to Open Cholecystectomy: A Single-Center Observational Study. *Cureus*. 2024 Dec 24;16(12).
3. Komar MH, Imanuddin KA. Predicting Conversion to Open Cholecystectomy: A Validation Study of the Difficult Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy Scoring System. *Bioscientia Medicina: Journal of Biomedicine and Translational Research*. 2024 Sep 20;8(12):5593-606.
4. Kadirvel D, Vasudevan S, Selvamuthukumar S, Rajput S, Kumar PG. Identifying the Prognostic Factors Affecting the Conversion From Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy to Open Cholecystectomy in Acute Cholecystitis. *Cureus*. 2024 Nov 19;16(11):e73975.
5. Ravendran K, Elmoraly A, Kagiosi E, Henry CS, Joseph JM, Kam C. Converting From Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy to Open Cholecystectomy: A Systematic Review of Its Advantages and Reasoning. *Cureus*. 2024 Jul 16;16(7):e64694.
6. Singh AK, Nayak PS, Dewangan KP. A prospective observational study of predictive factors for conversion of laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy. *International Surgery Journal*. 2024 Feb 29;11(3):398-404.
7. Sharma S. Predictive factors evaluating conversion to open cholecystectomy in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy.
8. Patel S. Clinical, Biochemical, and Radiological Predictors of Difficult Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy and Conversion to Open Surgery.
9. Tan JH, Sia WT, Yong KC, Ang AW, Tan HC, Pang WS, Tan JK, Khairudin K, Mohamad Y, Mat TN. A retrospective cohort study identifying risk factors and using CLOC score as a prediction tool for conversion in laparoscopic cholecystectomy: insights from a Malaysian tertiary hospital. *AME Surgical Journal*. 2024 Dec 30;4.
10. Aslan H, Çıraklı Ü, Özden S, Çetin E. Determinants of conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy: Türkiye case. *Med J Bakirkoy*. 2024 Jun 27;20(2):92-6.
11. Avci MA, Akgün C, Buk O, Karadan D. Can Hemogram Parameters and Derived Ratios Predict Conversion From Laparoscopic to Open Cholecystectomy?. *Cureus*. 2024 Aug 31;16(8):e68290.
12. Aggarwal K, Singh RP, Mishra A, Rani N, Pahuja V, Dugg P. Evaluation of factors responsible for conversion of Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy to Open Cholecystectomy: A prospective cohort study of 267 cases. *J Int Med Sci Acad*. 2024 Jan;37(1).
13. ShahnawazAbro S, SajjadHussainQureshi S, NaeemAl Karim Bhatti FN. FACTORS INFLUENCING CONVERSION FROM LAPAROSCOPIC TO OPEN CHOLECYSTECTOMY DUE TO RISK OF BILE DUCT INJURY: AN ANALYTICAL



---

STUDY: JPUMHS; 2024: 14: 03,103-111. <http://doi.org/10.46536/jpumhs/2024/14.03.541>. Journal of Peoples University of Medical & Health Sciences Nawabshah.(JPUMHS). 2024 Sep 30;14(3):103-11.

14. Korayem IM, Bessa SS. Preoperative predictors of difficult early laparoscopic cholecystectomy among patients with acute calculous cholecystitis in Egypt. BMC surgery. 2024 Oct 24;24(1):329.
15. Mohamed DJ. FROM LAPAROSCOPY TO LAPAROTOMY: ANALYSING CONVERSION RISKS IN CHOLECYSTECTOMY IN RURAL SETTINGS NORTH-EASTERN KENYA. GSJ. 2024 Jun;12(6).