Unraveling the Link Between Gluteus Maximus Inhibition and Mechanical Low Back Pain: A Comprehensive Review

Ahmed Saad Shaban[1], Mohamed Salah El-Sayed[2,3], Walid Elsayed Hussein Ahmed Arafat[4], Mohamed Abd El-Naser Ghareeb[5,6]

1. Assistant Professor, Physical Therapy Department, Faculty of Applied Medical Science, Irbid University, Jordan.
2. Assistant Professor, Physiotherapy Department, Faculty of Allied Medical Sciences, Al-Ahliyya Amman University, Jordan.
3. Lecturer, Department of Physical Therapy for Pediatrics, Faculty of Physical Therapy, Horus University-Egypt, New Damietta, Egypt.
4. Assistant Professor, Department of Physical Therapy for Cardiopulmonary and Geriatrics, Faculty of Allied Medical Sciences, Isra University, Amman, Jordan.
5. Assistant Professor, Department of Physical Therapy, Faculty of Applied Medical Sciences, Al-Zaytoonah University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan.
6. Lecturer, Department of Physical Therapy for Surgery, Faculty of Physical Therapy, Horus University-Egypt, New Damietta, Egypt.

Abstract:
Mechanical low back pain (MLBP) is a prevalent musculoskeletal disorder with multifactorial origins, including biomechanical dysfunctions that compromise spinal stability. Among these, gluteus maximus inhibition (GMI) has emerged as a critical yet often overlooked contributor. The gluteus maximus plays a fundamental role in maintaining lumbo-pelvic stability, hip extension, and efficient load transfer during locomotion. Dysfunction or inhibition of this muscle can lead to compensatory movement patterns, altered spinal biomechanics, and increased susceptibility to chronic pain. This review explores the relationship between GMI and MLBP, highlighting underlying mechanisms such as neuromuscular inhibition, prolonged sedentary behavior, and compensatory activation of adjacent muscle groups. Evidence suggests that GMI is associated with increased anterior pelvic tilt, reduced hip extension strength, and impaired postural control, all of which contribute to mechanical stress on the lumbar spine. Furthermore, individuals with MLBP demonstrate delayed gluteal activation, reinforcing a cycle of dysfunction and pain. Effective assessment and rehabilitation strategies, including targeted strength training, neuromuscular re-education, and movement retraining, are essential for restoring optimal function. Clinical implications emphasize the need for a comprehensive approach to MLBP management that includes gluteal muscle activation exercises to address underlying biomechanical deficiencies. Future research should focus on the development of standardized assessment tools and intervention protocols to optimize treatment outcomes. Recognizing the interplay between GMI and MLBP can enhance therapeutic strategies and improve patient outcomes by addressing the root causes of dysfunction rather than merely alleviating symptoms.

Keywords: Gluteus maximus inhibition, mechanical low back pain, lumbo-pelvic stability, neuromuscular dysfunction, rehabilitation strategies.

1. Introduction to Gluteus Maximus and its Role in Human Movement

The gluteus maximus is the most superficial muscular structure of the gluteus muscle group. It is also the most distal lumbo-pelvic-hip interface and the most powerful extensor of the hip. The antagonist of the hip flexor muscle is located at the bottom of the buttocks and is a significant determinant in propulsion during walking, including running and jumping[1]. In addition to being a highly predictable modulator for spinal stabilization and pelvis, the abductor in running is under human gait with the movement required for more efficient mechanical edge stability. The activity of the gluteus maximus is different from any other muscle that creates lumbo-pelvic stability and acts on the vertical plane, making it effective and very powerful in supporting design[2]. During rapid movements of the limbs, the network mechanism is posturally planned in advance to a certain resistance. This resistance comes primarily from postural muscles that work as fast trunk stabilizers. Examples in everyday life of some athletes include actions such as returning a tennis serve over a net or standing free after a snowboarding jump[3].

Approximately 30-40% of energy from voluntary muscle activities stabilizes the body and transfers from the upper body to the ground during human locomotion to the lower extremity. The energy is mainly generated by the contraction of the gluteus maximus, the abductor muscles, and the hip extensors. Working the muscles of an area is possible and can help address a range of diseases, alongside the need to work with the muscles of the adjacent rang[4]. The gluteus maximus, located at the beginning of the base-kinetic chain, is in a critical position regarding the anatomical and functional connections that can develop unhealthy patterns. The deep muscle of the buttocks, primarily the gluteus maximus, is an important muscle in close proximity to many structures at the bottom of the spinal cord, and limitations in plane trajectories have been developed[5]. National or regional dysfunction symptoms at the beginning of the base functional unit will follow. In this base-kinetic spine, the lumbo-pelvis and hip joint are formed by the interaction of the erector spine and the core muscles to stabilize the system moving over the combinations. Additionally, the combination of the internal and external rings at these sites and the hip muscles forms the hip joint stabilizers package[6].

2. Mechanical Low Back Pain: Causes and Symptoms

Low back pain is among the most common types of musculoskeletal pain in today's society. Low back pain can be categorized by anatomic location and is termed lumbar radiculopathy, which is pain in the leg or foot that results from a pinched or irritated nerve in the lumbar spine, or mechanical low back pain[7]. Mechanical back pain is identified as a type of back pain that originates in the bony structures, intervertebral discs, and/or soft tissues, which include the muscles and ligaments of the spine[8].

Low back pain affects individuals between 30 and 50 years old and may potentially have a genetic component. There are multiple intrinsic risk factors such as increasing age, female gender, poor physical fitness, obesity, and lack of regular physical activity that may contribute to the development of low back pain[9]. It is likely that posture, as well as being loads, are also contributors to the development of low back pain and that daily habits and tasks have led to countless micro-traumas of the low back. Occupations that require a person to make repeated lifts, continually bend over, or sit for prolonged periods may be a predisposing factor that may lead to low back pain[10]. Furthermore, it is feasible that such habitual positions over time lead to changes in the mechanics of the body and the low back, ultimately creating compensatory and harmful movement patterns. Clinically, a person with low back pain may present with complaints such as dull, aching pain in the lower back accompanied by stiffness at the cervical-lumbar junction and muscle tightness[11]. Individuals have been known to suffer from radiating pain into the lateral or posterior thigh and calf. Additionally, patients have frequently had abnormal neurological examinations with loss of sensation, motor function, strength, and reflexes due to irritation of the nervous system. Early intervention by healthcare professionals ensures psychological support, recognition, and possible recreation of pain, physical therapy exercises, and medication if necessary[12].

3. Gluteus Maximus Inhibition: Mechanisms and Consequences

Gluteus maximus inhibition is a neuromuscular issue that has been investigated through many avenues. There are several possible mechanisms through which gluteus maximus inhibition can occur. Neurological inhibition from the CNS, pain inhibition due to injury or pain elsewhere, and disuse atrophy can all play a part in gluteus maximus inhibition[13]. The cause of gluteus maximus inhibition may influence the consequences of that inhibition. Inhibition could happen secondary to low back pain, contributing to the development or continuation of the pain. Inhibited glutes could also aggravate other musculoskeletal dysfunction in the lower back region, such as sacroiliac joint pain and hip abductor pain[14].

Computed tomography has shown inhibited glutes in subjects with mechanical low back pain predominantly on the side of pain. Gluteus maximus inhibition has also been found to lead to side dominant, static postural imbalance[13]. Inhibited glutes will alter biomechanics. Increased anterior pelvic tilt has been found in subjects with mechanical low back pain, which was reduced after an exercise routine designed to restore normal motor control. This anterior pelvic tilt might be caused, in part, by inhibited gluteals, facilitating lower back pain. Movement compensation can also stem from inhibited glutes [15,16].

Prolonged sitting causes gravity to induce a flexed hip posture. The body switches to using the stabilizing system instead of the stress resistant myofascial system while sitting. One result is gluteus inhibition. With poor gluteal strength in hip extension, the hamstrings are left to compensate, perpetuating the flexion based cycle[17]. Inhibition and strength are closely related. If relative gluteus maximus weakness can potentiate inhibition, gluteal inhibition could also potentiate weakness. Reduced gluteus maximus function can impair lower limb performance[13].

4. The Link Between Gluteus Maximus Inhibition and Mechanical Low Back Pain

Low gluteus maximus muscle activity is a common occurrence in patients with mechanical low back pain. Weak gluteal muscles increase the risk of chronic low back pain and can also lead to an increased risk of low back disability if chronicity is attained. Research suggests that gluteus maximus inhibition may contribute to the development of mechanical pain via somatovisceral mechanisms such as pelvic malalignment or altered lumbopelvic and hip function, leading to increased lumbar spine instability[18]. The literature identifies a connection between gluteal muscle weakness and the incidence of low back pain. In one study, women with low back pain demonstrated delayed firing of gluteal muscles when compared to women without low back pain. Women with low back pain also demonstrated significantly lower gluteus maximus muscle strength than those who did not have pain. Research also suggests that patients participating in a core conditioning program that failed to emphasize gluteal training did not improve in functional outcome scores as well as those that did include gluteal exercises[19]. Weakness or inhibition of the gluteal muscles may contribute to the development of low back pain, but secondary gluteal muscle dysfunction has been implicated in the perpetuation of low back pain. Malalignments of the lumbar spine and sacroiliac joints can be exacerbated by gluteal muscle dysfunction confounded with other altered muscle firing patterns. The relationship of gluteal inhibition and low back pain deserves consideration clinically. Determining the extent of gluteus maximus muscle function in persons with low back pain may have clinical significance[20,21]. To this point, inhibition of the gluteal muscles may indirectly contribute to the development of low back pain due to the fact that strength and development of the hip muscles have a direct effect on pelvic position. Physical therapists who work with patients with low back pain should consider the avoidance of exercises or interventions that could result in gluteal inhibition or poor function. Further research is needed to investigate the avoidance of gluteal inhibition in the prevention, treatment, and rehabilitation of persons with low back pain[13].

5. Assessment and Rehabilitation Strategies for Gluteus Maximus Activation

The assessment of gluteus maximus activation and strength is vital in the clinical diagnosis and identification of gluteus maximus inhibition. Assessment can take the form of special tests, strength tests, or functional movement screening. The identification of gluteus maximus weakness may require an sEMG to determine the level of function prior to the commencement of rehabilitation program[22]. A number of exercises effectively increases gluteus maximus activation. The all-fours hip extension exercise is the most effective hip extension exercise in recruiting the gluteus maximus musculature[23].

A number of assessment and rehabilitation strategies are available that may be beneficial for enhancing gluteus maximus activation. The most suitable strategy may depend on the patient's presentation and examination results. Presently, there are no clinical guidelines that can dictate which strategy may be most suitable for an individual with gluteal inhibition. As such, it was proposed that an individualized approach may be needed[24]. The exercises listed are not intended to be an exhaustive list for patients with gluteus maximus inhibition and will need to be individualized to the patient's specific presentation. Education and empowerment of the patient to understand the diagnosis is important. Some advocate patients utilizing modalities to facilitate gluteus maximus activation[25,26].

Conclusion

Gluteus maximus inhibition plays a significant role in the development and persistence of mechanical low back pain by disrupting lumbo-pelvic stability and altering movement patterns. Addressing this dysfunction through targeted rehabilitation strategies, including strength training and neuromuscular re-education, is crucial for improving spinal biomechanics and reducing pain. Clinicians should integrate gluteal activation exercises into treatment plans to enhance functional recovery. Future research should focus on standardized assessment methods and optimized intervention protocols to further improve patient outcomes.

References:

1. Ko MJ, Jeong NY, Sim EW, Jeon IC. Comparison of Gluteus Maximus and Biceps Femoris Muscle Activity and Activity Ratio during Prone Hip Extension with and without External Fixation in Healthy Subjects. Journal of Musculoskeletal Science and Technology. 2024;8(2):90-6.
2. Pimenta R, Almeida P, Correia JP, Bruno PM, Vaz JR. Effects of fatigue on hamstrings and gluteus maximus shear modulus in hip extension and knee flexion submaximal contraction task. Sports Biomechanics. 2023 Jun 22:1-4.
3. Adeel M, Lin BS, Chaudhary MA, Chen HC, Peng CW. Effects of Strengthening Exercises on Human Kinetic Chains Based on a Systematic Review. Journal of Functional Morphology and Kinesiology. 2024 Jan 17;9(1):22.
4. Hu Z, Ren L, Wei G, Qian Z, Liang W, Chen W, Lu X, Ren L, Wang K. Energy flow and functional behavior of individual muscles at different speeds during human walking. IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering. 2022 Nov 14;31:294-303.
5. Perraton Z, Lawrenson P, Mosler AB, Elliott JM, Weber KA, Flack NA, Cornwall J, Crawford RJ, Stewart C, Semciw AI. Towards defining muscular regions of interest from axial magnetic resonance imaging with anatomical cross-reference: a scoping review of lateral hip musculature. BMC musculoskeletal disorders. 2022 Jun 4;23(1):533.
6. Meinders E, Pizzolato C, Gonçalves BA, Lloyd DG, Saxby DJ, Diamond LE. The deep hip muscles are unlikely to stabilize the hip in the sagittal plane during walking: a joint stiffness approach. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering. 2021 Sep 24;69(3):1133-40.
7. El-Tallawy SN, Nalamasu R, Salem GI, LeQuang JA, Pergolizzi JV, Christo PJ. Management of musculoskeletal pain: an update with emphasis on chronic musculoskeletal pain. Pain and therapy. 2021 Jun;10:181-209.
8. Mosabbir A. Mechanisms behind the development of chronic low back pain and its neurodegenerative features. Life. 2022.
9. Ferreira ML, De Luca K, Haile LM, Steinmetz JD, Culbreth GT, Cross M, Kopec JA, Ferreira PH, Blyth FM, Buchbinder R, Hartvigsen J. Global, regional, and national burden of low back pain, 1990–2020, its attributable risk factors, and projections to 2050: a systematic analysis of the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. The Lancet Rheumatology. 2023 Jun 1;5(6):e316-29.
10. Chaiklieng S, Suggaravetsiri P, Stewart J. Incidence and risk factors associated with lower back pain among university office workers. International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics. 2021 Oct 2;27(4):1215-21.
11. Wernli K, Smith A, Coll F, Campbell A, Kent P, O'Sullivan P. From protection to non-protection: A mixed methods study investigating movement, posture and recovery from disabling low back pain. European Journal of Pain. 2022 Nov;26(10):2097-119.

12. Bennett K, Diamond C, Hoeritzauer I, Gardiner P, McWhirter L, Carson A, Stone J. A practical review of functional neurological disorder (FND) for the general physician. Clinical Medicine. 2021 Jan 1;21(1):28-36.
13. Baik S, Cynn H, Kim S. Understanding and exercise of gluteus medius weakness: A systematic review. Physical Therapy Korea. 2021.
14. Diwan AD, Melrose J. Intervertebral disc degeneration and how it leads to low back pain. Jor Spine. 2023.
15. Cejudo A, Centenera-Centenera JM, Santonja-Medina F. The potential role of hamstring extensibility on sagittal pelvic tilt, sagittal spinal curves and recurrent low back pain in team sports players: A gender perspective analysis. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2021 Aug 16;18(16):8654.
16. Mendiguchia J, Gonzalez De la Flor A, Mendez-Villanueva A, Morin JB, Edouard P, Garrues MA. Training-induced changes in anterior pelvic tilt: potential implications for hamstring strain injuries management. Journal of Sports Sciences. 2021 Apr 3;39(7):760-7.
17. De Carvalho DE, Callaghan JP. The effect of lumbar spinal manipulation on biomechanical factors and perceived transient pain during prolonged sitting: a laboratory-controlled cross-sectional study. Chiropractic & Manual Therapies. 2022.
18. Sadler S, Spink M, Chuter V. Gluteus medius muscle activity during gait in people with and without chronic nonspecific low back pain: A case control study. Gait & posture. 2021.
19. Kripa S, Kaur H. Identifying relations between posture and pain in lower back pain patients: a narrative review. Bulletin of Faculty of Physical Therapy. 2021.
20. Psycharakis SG, Coleman SGS, Linton L, Valentin S. The WATER study: Which AquaTic ExeRcises increase muscle activity and limit pain for people with low back pain?. Physiotherapy. 2022.
21. Nahidi P, Moghadasi A, Aghayari A. The Effect of Corrective Exercises on Strength, Power, and Endurance of Gluteal Muscles in Women With Weakness and Inhibition of Gluteal Muscles. The Scientific Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine. 2024 May 21;13(2):350-63.
22. Glaviano NR, Saliba S. Differences in gluteal and quadriceps muscle activation during weight-bearing exercises between female subjects with and without patellofemoral pain. The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research. 2022 Jan 1;36(1):55-62.
23. McKivigan JM. Exercises for the Trunk, Shoulder, Hip, and Knee: Nonsurgical and Surgical. Foundations of Orthopedic Physical Therapy. 2024.
24. Norte G, Rush J, Sherman D. Arthrogenic muscle inhibition: best evidence, mechanisms, and theory for treating the unseen in clinical rehabilitation. Journal of sport rehabilitation. 2021 Dec 9;31(6):717-35.
25. Lang F, Schrörs B, Löwer M, Türeci Ö, Sahin U. Identification of neoantigens for individualized therapeutic cancer vaccines. Nature reviews Drug discovery. 2022 Apr;21(4):261-82.
26. ALI, Mostafa S., et al. Prevalence of lumbosacral radiculopathy among physiotherapists of pediatric rehabilitation. Revista iberoamericana de psicología del ejercicio y el deporte, 2024, 19.2: 184-188.